

Pillar 3 Disclosures

For the year ended 31 December 2024

 \blacksquare

K

â

200

3

TR III

Ŧ

Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Key metrics and overview of risk weighted exposure amounts	4
3.	Risk management objectives and policies	8
4.	Disclosure of the scope of application	18
5.	Disclosure of own funds	21
6.	Disclosure of countercyclical capital buffers	27
7.	Disclosure of liquidity requirements	28
9.	Disclosure of credit risk quality	34
10.	Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques	42
11.	Disclosure of the use of the credit risk standardised approach	
	(excluding counterparty credit risk and securitisation positions)	44
12.	Disclosure of the use of the IRB approach to credit risk	
	(excluding counterparty credit risk)	47
13.	Disclosure of specialised lending and equity exposures under the	
	simple risk weight approach	57
14.	Disclosure of counterparty credit risk	57
15.	Disclosure on market risk	60
16.	Disclosure of exposures to securitisation positions	61
17.	Disclosure of operational risk	64
18.	Disclosure of remuneration policy	66
19.	Disclosure on interest rate risk in the banking book	74
20.	Disclosure on asset encumbrance	77
21.	Disclosure of the leverage ratio	79
22.	Attestation	81
23.	Key elements of the Pillar 3 disclosures policy	81
Appendi	x 1. Glossary	82

1. Introduction

In this document Coventry Building Society ('the Society') has set out its Pillar 3 disclosures as at the year ending 31 December 2024 in accordance with the Disclosure Part of the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) Rulebook.

This report includes specific templates that are required to be disclosed on a quarterly, semi-annual and annual basis for large and listed institutions.

The Society has not omitted any disclosures on the basis of materiality, proprietary or confidentiality (See Article 432 of the UK Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR)).

Rows in which there is no data to report or zero values, have been excluded from the templates disclosed.

The information presented in this Pillar 3 report is not required to be, and has not been, subject to an external audit.

2. Key metrics and overview of risk weighted exposure amounts

2.1. Template UK KM1 – Key metrics

The following table details the Society's own funds, key capital metrics, Pillar 1 liquidity coverage ratio and net stable funding ratio as at 31 December 2024 and those metrics previously disclosed as at 30 September 2024, 30 June 2024, 31 March 2024 and 31 December 2023.

Note that the capital values presented here are on a transitional basis whereas the Society's Interim Financial Statements and the Financial Statements included in the Annual Report and Accounts are presented on an endpoint basis (i.e. assuming all transitional arrangements have finished, including IFRS 9 transitional relief).

		31 December 2024	30 September 2024	30 June 2024	31 March 2024	31 December 2023
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
	Available own funds (amounts)					
1	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital ¹	2,615	2,530	2,532	2,457	2,478
2	Tier 1 capital	3,280	3,195	3,224	2,872	2,893
3	Total capital	3,320	3,235	3,224	2,872	2,893
	Risk-weighted exposure amounts					
4	Total risk-weighted exposure amount ²	9,341	8,917	8,759	8,597	8,501
	Capital ratios (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)				-	
5	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	28.0%	28.4%	28.9%	28.6%	29.1%
6	Tier 1 ratio (%)	35.1%	35.8%	36.8%	33.4%	34.0%
7	Total capital ratio (%)	35.5%	36.3%	36.8%	33.4%	34.0%
	Additional own funds requirements based on SREP (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)				
UK 7a	Additional CET1 SREP requirements (%)	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
UK 7b	Additional AT1 SREP requirements (%)	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
UK 7c	Additional T2 SREP requirements (%)	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
UK 7d	Total SREP own funds requirements (%)	10.6%	10.6%	10.6%	10.6%	10.7%
	Combined buffer requirement (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)					
8	Capital conservation buffer (%)	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
9	Institutions specific countercyclical capital buffer	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
11	Combined buffer requirement (%)	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%
UK 11a	Overall capital requirements (%)	15.1%	15.1%	15.1%	15.1%	15.2%
12	CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)	17.4%	17.7%	18.3%	17.9%	18.5%
	Leverage ratio					
13	Leverage ratio total exposure measure ³	53,662	53,223	53,256	53,100	53,910
14	Leverage ratio ⁴	5.7%	6.0%	6.1%	5.4%	5.4%

Table 1 UK KM1 – Key capital metrics

 ¹ Available own funds as at 31 March 2024 and 30 September 2024, do not include unverified profits generated in the first and third quarter of 2024 respectively. Profits were verified as at 30 June 2024 and 31 December 2024 and are therefore included in these periods.
 ² This amount includes the impacts of the Post Model Adjustments (PMAs) described in the Key metrics section.

³ The UK leverage ratio includes a restriction on the amount of Additional Tier 1 capital and excludes claims on the central bank with a maturity of no longer than three months from the calculation of leverage exposures, in line with the UK Leverage Regime. The 31 December 2023 comparatives are shown as if under the UK Leverage Regime.

⁴ Calculated on a restricted Tier 1 capital basis whereas the 6.1% ratio reported to the Regulator was based on the Total Tier 1 capital amount.

		31 December 2024	30 September 2024	30 June 2024	31 March 2024	31 December 2023
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
	Liquidity coverage ratio					
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) (Weighted value -average)	9,946	9,865	9,933	10,050	10,015
UK 16a	Cash outflows - Total weighted value	4,240	4,126	4,032	3,952	4,008
UK 16b	Cash inflows - Total weighted value	354	371	346	306	291
16	Total net cash outflows (adjusted value)	3,885	3,755	3,686	3,646	3,716
17	Liquidity coverage ratio (%) ⁵	258.2%	264.7%	270.6%	276.7%	270.6%
	Net stable funding ratio					
18	Total available stable funding	56,926	56,802	56,470	55,731	55,119
19	Total required stable funding	37,615	37,787	37,702	37,674	37,757
20	NSFR ratio (%) ⁶	151.3%	150.3%	149.8%	147.9%	146.0%

Table 2 UK KM1 – Key liquidity metrics

The Society's Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio was 28.0% (31 December 2023: 29.1%) compared to an overall capital requirement of 15.1% (31 December 2023: 15.2%).

The Society's available own funds have increased during the year, with the increase in CET1 being driven primarily by profits, which are verified as at 30 June 2024 and audited as at 31 December 2024. Total capital further benefitted from the issuance of new AT1 capital and the Society's PIBS becoming eligible for inclusion in Tier 2.

The risk weighted exposure amount £9,341m (31 December 2023: £8,501m) has increased in 2024 due to an increase in balances, slightly higher loan to value lending, as well as an additional £725 million being held with independent paying agents in readiness for the Bank acquisition of The Co-operative Bank. The Society is still awaiting regulatory approval of the revised IRB models developed to meet regulatory changes that were brought in at the beginning of 2022. Until such time as the models are approved the Society has agreed to hold additional RWAs that represent its best view of the change in capital requirements that will result from the new models once they are implemented.

The Society is not currently bound by regulatory leverage ratios but expects leverage will be its binding constraint at the point retail deposits exceed ± 50 billion⁷ at its annual reporting date. The Society's leverage increased to 5.7% (31 December 2023: 5.4%). This is well above regulatory requirements, with the increase being driven mainly by an increase in capital resources in the year.

The Society's liquidity position is also strong as at 31 December 2024 with a 12-month average liquidity coverage ratio of 258.2% (31 December 2023: 270.6%). Note the liquidity position reported in the ARAs is not a 12-month average but is reported as at 31 December 2024. The average LCR shows a relative decrease over the year due to an increase in retail deposit requirements, thereby decreasing the net surplus.

The average Net stable funding ratio (NSFR) of 151.3% (31 December 2023: 146.0%) is also well above regulatory requirement. The NSFR is a longer-term liquidity risk management measure that is designed to ensure a stable funding structure within the Society where the available stable funding should equal the required stable funding.

⁵ The liquidity coverage ratio is calculated as a 12 month average.

⁶ The net stable funding ratio is calculated as an average of the current and preceding quarters.

⁷ Subject to review following publication of a consultation paper- CP 2/25 by the PRA on 5th March 2025.

2.2. Template UK OV1 - Overview of risk weighted exposure amounts

The table below details risk weighted exposure amounts (RWEAs) and the respective own funds requirements as at 31 December 2024, and the RWEAs previously disclosed as at 30 September 2024. Own funds requirements are calculated as 8% of the RWEAs.

		Risk weighted e	xposure amounts (RWEAs)	Total own funds requirements
		31 December 2024	30 September 2024	31 December 2024
		£m	£m	£m
1	Credit risk (excluding CCR)	8,167	7,869	653
2	Of which the standardised approach	254	135	20
3	Of which the foundation IRB (FIRB) approach	80	79	6
5	Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach ⁸	7,833	7,655	627
6	Counterparty credit risk - CCR	128	101	10
7	Of which the standardised approach	40	28	3
UK 8a	Of which exposures to a CCP	3	3	—
UK 8b	Of which credit valuation adjustment – CVA	54	54	4
9	Of which other CCR	31	16	2
16	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book (after the cap)	_	1	—
18	Of which SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	_	1	_
23	Operational risk	1,046	946	84
UK 23b	Of which standardised approach	1,046	946	84
24	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight) (For information) ⁹	_	5	_
29	Total	9,341	8,917	747

Table 3 UK OV1 – Overview of risk weighted exposure amounts

2.3. Template UK INS1 - Insurance participations

The Society has no own funds instruments held in insurance or re-insurance undertakings, neither are there any insurance holding companies not deducted from own funds.

2.4. Template UK INS2 - Financial conglomerates information on own funds and capital adequacy ratio

The Society is not part of a financial conglomerate.

2.5. Table UK OVC - ICAAP information

2.5.1. Approach to assessing the adequacy of the internal capital

The Society performs an Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) which is approved by the Board following recommendation by Board Risk Committee.

The Society's ICAAP compares the amount of capital the Society holds against the capital required to mitigate several risks. The assessment also ensures the Society remains above its risk appetite after applying some stress scenarios.

Capital risk arises when there are insufficient capital resources to support the Society's strategic objectives and plans, or to meet external stakeholder requirements and expectations. This could arise due to a crystallisation

⁸ See Table 28 UK CR8 – RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach for further details.

⁹ Row 24 is for information only and the value is excluded from the total in row 29.

of risks depleting capital resources, or an increase in risk or growth, or changing regulatory requirements or economic conditions, which increase capital requirements, or erode capital resources.

The Society assesses its capital adequacy both as a 'going concern' and as a 'gone concern'.

- 1) The 'going concern' assessment is against two key measures:
 - a) The risk-based measure; and
 - b) the leverage ratio backstop measure which is not risk sensitive.
- 2) The Society assesses its capital adequacy requirements to recapitalise a firm in recovery/resolution as a 'gone concern' under the minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL).

To ensure that the Society would have enough capital in a stress (post management actions) scenario, two prescribed stress scenarios are applied to the Strategic Plan using assumptions that are based on Bank of England (BoE) defined stress scenarios.

The following metrics are assessed for each scenario:

- Profit before tax;
- Own funds risk-based capital adequacy;
- UK Leverage non-risk-based capital adequacy; and
- binding MREL.

The following risks are assessed in the current ICAAP:

- Retail credit risk;
- concentration risk;
- interest rate risk in the banking book;
- operational risk including conduct and model business risk;
- wholesale credit risk;
- pension obligation risk;
- model risk;
- liquidity risk;
- market risk;
- residual risk,
- securitisation risk;
- risk of excess leverage;
- group risk; and
- reputational risk.

2.5.2. The result of the internal capital adequacy assessment process

The Society has not received any demand from the Prudential Regulation Authority to disclose the result of the ICAAP.

3. Risk management objectives and policies

3.1. Table UK OVA - Institution risk management approach

3.1.1. Management approved risk statement

The Society's risk management objectives are to:

- Identify risks to the Strategic Plan and to the Society's objectives;
- assess risk exposures by impact and likelihood; and
- respond to risks by evaluating them against the Society's risk appetite, formulating associated management responses and monitoring progress against agreed management action plans.

The Society operates a simple business model. One of its key principles is to stay safe and secure by only taking risks it understands and can manage. It manages risk through its Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF). The ERMF sets out the Board's approach to managing and overseeing risk by:

- Defining risk strategy;
- risk appetite;
- governance and control; and
- risk management in light of the Society's strategy.

Risks are identified, assessed, managed, monitored, escalated and reported in accordance with the requirements of the ERMF. Management information captures risk metric information against risk indicators, triggers and limits as appropriate.

3.1.2. Risk governance structure

The Society has a number of committees which oversee and monitor risk as set out below. The Board delegates to the Board Risk Committee (BRC) oversight of the Society's risk management arrangements as a whole. The Chief Risk Officer (CRO) has an independent reporting line directly to the Chair of the BRC in addition to reporting to the Chief Executive.

The Internal Audit function provides independent assurance, and the Chief Internal Auditor has an independent reporting line to the Chair of the Board Audit Committee (BAC).

Board



Figure 1 Executive committees

3.1.3. Adequacy of the risk management arrangements

In line with the Society's risk management objectives, risk strategy, risk appetite and in 3.1 above.

The ERMF is approved annually by the Board and continues to operate effectively. The Society will continue to enhance the ERMF as required to ensure it identifies and manages risk within its low risk tolerance.

3.1.4. The scope and nature of risk disclosure and/or measurement systems

Risk is managed through appropriate measurement and tracking via such tools as Board Limits and Key Risk Indicators, appropriate management information (MI) and results of key annual requirements such as Stress Testing. These provide a view on where the Society currently sits against its risk appetites and will help determine if action is required, or where capacity lies to undertake further related activity. Additionally, consolidation of the risk positions, via these measures, are reported into the Society's key committees, allowing the leadership to understand the overall position and take appropriate actions as determined. The Society will also consider appropriate MI to such as updates on emerging risks, outputs from Internal Audit and report on risk events. Risk events are recorded and managed on the Society's risk management system – CORE.

3.1.5. Strategies and processes to manage risks

The principal categories of risk to which our business model is inherently exposed to are set out below. These risk categories are managed through the Society's Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF).

Risk categories	Mitigation
CREDIT RISK The risk of loss to the Society from retail borrowers or wholesale counterparties failing to meet their contractual payments in full and/or on time. Credit risk consists of the following sub-categories: Retail credit risk and Treasury credit risk.	Retail credit risk: We operate robust underwriting and affordability assessments which, together with appropriate credit policies, result in the Society lending responsibly and within its risk appetite. We also build and operate statistical models to measure retail credit risk to ensure we understand our exposure to ongoing risk of losses in stressed scenarios. Treasury credit risk: We operate under a treasury risk
	management framework reviewed annually by the Board which limits the size and breadth of exposures to good quality counterparties with a low risk of failure.
MARKET RISK The risk of a reduction in earnings and/or value as a result of financial market movements.	We operate within Board approved limits and use interest and foreign exchange rate swap agreements to mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates.
LIQUIDITY AND FUNDING RISK The risk of insufficient funds to meet obligations falling due or the inability to access funding at reasonable cost or risk.	We hold sufficient liquidity to withstand a severe but plausible stress and operate within limits set by the Board. We maintain a diversified funding base to avoid overreliance on any funding source, type or term.
CAPITAL RISK The risk that the Society has insufficient capital resources to absorb losses in benign or stressed macroeconomic conditions, fails to meet regulatory or external expectations, or has insufficient financial resources to recapitalise in the event of resolution.	The Society holds sufficient capital to withstand a severe but plausible stress, and mitigate risks identified through the annual ICAAP process. Risk appetite limits are set to ensure that the Society always maintains compliance with regulatory minima, with Early Warning Indicators in place to identify periods of severe stress as part of the Recovery Planning process.
CONDUCT RISK The risk that the Society's behaviour and decision making at all levels fails to prevent causing foreseeable harm and the delivery of good customer outcomes, or fails to meet the standards articulated in the Society's purpose and belief in 'Putting Members First'.	We place good customer outcomes, aligned to the FCA consumer duty, at the heart of our decision making. In line with Putting Members First, this reduces conduct risk. This ethos is embedded in product design, services, and people and communication strategies. The Board plays an active role in oversight of these strategies and the delivery by the Society of good customer outcomes.
OPERATIONAL RISK The risk of loss arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events. Whilst technology and data risks are operational in nature, they are considered as standalone principal risk categories.	We actively identify, assess, and manage operational risks across a number of categories to which the Society is exposed, consistent with Basel risk classifications, industry best practice and the Society's business model. We aim to continuously enhance our operational risk management framework to enable the Society to effectively manage its operational risk, thereby limiting incidents and associated losses to within appetite.

TECHNOLOGY RISK The risk of loss due to breach of confidentiality, failure of integrity of systems and data, inappropriateness or unavailability of systems and data.	Data risk is now embedded as a principal risk, providing executive level governance and enabling greater focus over developments. Risk management has been strengthened through a range of enhancements including implementation of data quality tooling, Board approved risk appetite, policies covering the principal data risk and its subcategories, and a suite of associated risk indicators that form the basis of reporting.
DATA RISK	Now fully embedded as a principal risk, we have strengthened
The risk of the Society failing to effectively govern,	management through a range of enhancements including Board
manage and protect its data or evolve its data	approved risk appetite, policies covering the principal data risk
capabilities to align with customer needs and	and its sub-categories and a suite of associated risk indicators
expectations or meet regulatory and legal compliance.	which form the basis of reporting.
MODEL RISK	We have implemented robust model risk management policies,
The potential for adverse consequences from model	comprising the Board policy on model risk and Model Risk
errors or the inappropriate use of modelled outputs to	Framework. These cover key governance requirements and
inform business decisions.	processes applicable to critical models.
STRATEGIC RISK	We have a simple business model and a clear understanding of
The risk that the business model fails as a result of not	the risks and opportunities in the markets in which we operate.
responding to changes to macroeconomic, geopolitical,	We have a robust strategic planning process which includes
regulatory (including climate change) or other factors	capital and liquidity stress testing and sensitivity analysis. We
(including changing customer behaviour and	monitor changes in strategic planning assumptions and the
expectations in an increasingly digital world), or from	market outlook to identify emerging risks which could threaten
making poor strategic choices and plan execution.	the business model over the medium- to long-term.

Table 4 Principal Risks

3.1.6. Risk disclosure and measurement systems

Risk disclosure allows the Society to maintain an up-to-date view on the position and health of the business through the accurate measurement of its principal risks. It also allows for appropriate dissemination of this information to the Society's leadership team in order to allow for appropriate management action to be discussed and taken. Additionally, the Society has a regulatory requirement to provide certain information to its regulators and timely, accurate data is vital to providing these disclosures. Further, management of risk events through the CORE management system, allows for up-to-date action tracking and monitoring of the event, any mitigating or corrective action, as well as updates on impacts caused by any associated event.

3.1.7. The strategies and processes to manage, hedge and mitigate risks, and the monitoring of the effectiveness of hedges and mitigants

Risk Strategy

The Board sets the Society's risk strategy and risk management approach. The strategy includes establishing a robust risk culture, setting the Board's risk appetite and ensuring that the 'three lines of defence' model operates effectively. This model is recognised as an industry standard for risk management. The key accountabilities of the three lines of defence within the Society are set out below:

First line of defence

The business

- Identifies, manages and mitigates the Society's risks.
- Is responsible for compliance with relevant regulation and legislation.
- Defines and operates controls.
- Assesses key risk indicators and market conditions.
- Produces management information and reports on risk.

Second line of defence

Risk oversight

- Designs, develops and ensures adherence to the Enterprise Risk Management Framework.
- Designs and develops other key frameworks, including Conduct, Model and Operational Risk Frameworks.
- Provides oversight and challenge over the management of risks.
- Develops compliance policies, supports delivery of regulatory change and monitors and reports on regulatory issues.
- Is responsible for overseeing effective compliance with relevant regulation and legislation.

Third line of defence

Internal audit

- Provides independent and objective assurance and advice on all matters related to the achievement of Society objectives.
- Conducts independent testing and verification of the adequacy and effectiveness of the Society's governance, risk management, controls, policies, processes and first line compliance.
- Responsible for providing assurance that risk management processes are functioning as designed and that strong evidence regarding compliance with relevant regulation and legislation exists.

Figure 2 Three lines of defence

Risk Culture

Risk culture is reflected in the behaviour and approach of the Board and all employees to risk awareness, risk taking and risk management. A strong risk culture helps the Society to achieve its strategy within acceptable risk levels.

The Society's risk culture is built on the following four elements:

- Tone from the top;
- Accountability;
- Effective communication and challenge; and
- Incentives.

Board Risk Appetite

The Board articulates the risks it is willing to take in delivering the Strategic Plan through its risk appetite statements which create a framework for business decision making. These appetite statements are reviewed on an annual basis to ensure they remain effective.

The Board's strategy towards risk and risk appetite is to achieve operational, conduct and prudential resilience that protects the long-term interests of our membership and the Society, and also reflects our market role in supporting economic growth and financial stability.

The Executive Risk Committee (ERC), the Board Risk Committee (BRC) and the Board all review performance and adherence to Board limits.

Stress Testing and Planning

Stress testing, for both internal and external shocks, is used to understand the potential impact of risks crystallising and options to manage them. This includes scenario and contingency planning.

Stress testing is a key part of the Society's capital and liquidity assessments, and allows the Board to be satisfied that the Society has sufficient capital and liquidity resources even under a range of severe forward-looking scenarios.

More detail on the stress testing carried out by the Society, including the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP), and Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process (ILAAP) is set out in the sections that follow covering capital, and liquidity and funding risk.

3.2. Table UK OVB - Disclosure on governance arrangements

3.2.1. Members of the management body, their directorships, knowledge, skills and expertise

David Thorburn - (appointed in April 2022) – Chair of the Board

Chair of the Board and the Nominations & Governance Committee, and member of the Remuneration and Non-Executive Directors' Remuneration Committees.

Knowledge, skills and expertise

David has over 40 years' experience in the banking industry, including four years as Chief Executive of Clydesdale and Yorkshire Banks. Prior to joining the Society, David held a number of board positions including Non-Executive Director at Barclays Bank UK plc where he chaired the Board Risk Committee. David was an External Member of the Bank of England's Prudential Regulation Committee, a former board member of the British Bankers' Association, a former Chair of the Confederation of British Industry in Scotland and President of the Chartered Institute of Bankers in Scotland. David's previous roles also include Independent Non-Executive for the EY Global Network, member of its Global Governance Council and former Chair of Ernst & Young LLP Audit Board.

External appointments

None

Steve Hughes - (appointed in April 2020) - Chief Executive and Executive Director

Chair of the Non-Executive Directors' Remuneration Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise

Steve has broad transformation, financial and operational experience in senior and board level roles within financial services and consumer goods sectors. Before joining the Coventry, Steve had been Chief Executive of Principality Building Society, and Finance Director of the Lloyds Banking Group General Insurance businesses. Passionate about leadership, he has a strong belief in the benefit of the mutual model and is positive about the role a responsible business can have on society, as well as supporting colleagues to be the best they can be. Steve also held the role of Non-Executive Director on the main Board of UK Finance and Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee of UK Finance.

External appointments

Chair of the Audit Committee at the BSA council. Advisory Board Member of the Money and Pension Service, and Member of the Payments Advisory Board for the Pennies Foundation.

Iraj Amiri – (appointed in June 2018) – Independent Non-Executive Director and Whistleblowing Champion

Chair of Board Audit Committee, member of Board Risk Committee and Board Technology Oversight Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise

Senior Partner with Deloitte for over 20 years, leading its national internal audit group and serving clients in the financial, retail and public sectors. Global Head of Internal Audit for Schroders Plc for over 10 years. Carried out numerous reviews of major financial institutions including banks, building societies and insurance companies. Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales, a former Fellow of the Royal Statistical Society and was a member of the Internal Audit Task Force of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales for a number of years. Member of the Regulatory Decisions Committee at the Financial Conduct Authority for six years.

External appointments

Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee at the Development Bank of Wales plc. Chair of the Audit Committee at Aon UK Limited and Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee at Eurocell plc.

Jo Kenrick - (appointed in November 2017) – Deputy Chair of the Board and Senior Independent Director

Chair of the Remuneration Committee. Member of the Nominations & Governance Committee and Board Audit Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise

Jo is an experienced Non-Executive Director who has worked across multiple sectors in her executive and nonexecutive careers. Her early career at Mars confectionery, Pepsi, and Asda, followed by executive roles at Camelot Group plc, B&Q plc and Homebase Limited. She was also CEO of Start, a Prince of Wales charitable initiative. Jo has held previous non-executive roles at Principality Building Society and Safestore Self Storage Ltd and was the former Chair of PayM and of the Current Account Switch Service for Pay.UK.

External appointments

Senior Independent Director and Chair of the Remuneration Committee at Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water. Chair of Remuneration Committee at Sirius Real Estate. Non-Executive Director and Consumer Duty Champion at Vitality Health Limited.

Shamira Mohammed - (appointed May 2019) – Independent Non-Executive Director and Board ESG Champion

Member of the Board Audit Committee and Remuneration Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise

Chartered Accountant with over 20 years' experience within the financial services sector. Currently, Group Chief Accounting Officer at Athora, an insurance and reinsurance group focused on the pensions and insurance market. Shamira held previous executive roles at Aviva plc and Phoenix Group plc including Finance Director for the Phoenix Life Division and Finance Acquisition Director.

External appointments

Group Chief Accounting Officer, Athora.

Brendan O'Connor - (appointed January 2021) – Independent Non-Executive Director and Board Consumer Duty/Member Champion

Member of the Remuneration Committee, Board Risk Committee and Board Technology Oversight Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise

Brendan has over 35 years' experience at Allied Irish Bank including Head of its Global Treasury Services, Head of Corporate Banking International and Head of Business Banking. Brendan was most recently on the AIB Group leadership team as Head of Financial Solutions Group before becoming CEO of AIB UK plc in 2015.

External appointments

Chair of the Board Risk Committee at Ford Credit Europe Bank plc.

Iain Plunkett - (appointed July 2024) - Independent Non-Executive Director

Chair of the Board Technology Oversight Committee and Member of the Board Risk Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise:

lain began his career as an engineer and has since undertaken a number of senior appointments. Iain's executive career began at UBS Group where he held a number of positions, before moving to Barclays Group as Group Functions Global Chief Operating Officer. He later joined Aberdeen Asset Management as Group Chief Operating Officer and Group Information Officer, before moving to TP ICAP as Group Chief Operating Officer. His most recent executive role was with Santander UK as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Transformation Officer, where he successfully led a complex, whole business restructuring.

External appointments

Independent Non-Executive Director for Citi Group Global Markets Limited.

Lee Raybould - (appointed in April 2021) – Chief Financial Officer and Executive Director

Member of the Non-Executive Directors' Remuneration Committee.

Knowledge, skills and expertise:

Lee qualified as a Chartered Certified Accountant in 1997 and has over 30 years' experience in the building society sector including 24 years at Nationwide Building Society where his roles spanned finance, product, and strategy including his role as Chief Data Officer. Lee was also a member of the Executive Committee.

External appointments

None

Martin Stewart - (appointed September 2018) – Independent Non-Executive Director

Chair of the Board Risk Committee. Member of the Board Audit Committee and Board Technology Oversight Committee. Member of the Nominations & Governance Committee (to 31 December 2024).

Knowledge, skills and expertise

Martin has wide-ranging experience within the financial services sector. Director of Banks, Building Societies and Credit Unions at the Bank of England and Head of UK Banks and Mutuals at the Financial Services Authority (now Financial Conduct Authority). Martin also spent ten years in various senior roles at Yorkshire Building Society.

External appointments

Chair of Northern Bank Ltd (Danske Bank UK)

3.2.2. The Board recruitment policy

The Board goes through an extensive and rigorous recruitment process to select new board members with the right professional experience to enhance its capability.

3.2.3. The Board diversity policy

The Board are committed to diversity; achieving diversity in our leadership remains a key focus for the Board. Diversity and inclusion are intrinsic to the Society's values and purpose. A key strategic priority for the Society is to create an inspiring place to work which better reflects the diversity of its city and communities. The Society's approach to gender and diversity will continue to be a key factor in achieving this. As part of its remit, the Board oversees the implementation of the Society's diversity and inclusion strategy and objectives.

3.2.4. Board Risk Committee

The Society has a Board Risk Committee (BRC), which is chaired by Martin Stewart and is a sub-committee of the Board and the most senior risk committee within the Society. It has delegated authority from the Board and assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities for risk management across the Society.

Its responsibilities include the following:

- Providing oversight and advice to the Board in relation to current and potential future risk exposures of the Society and risk strategy, including determination of risk appetite and the effectiveness of the Society's framework for managing risk.
- Promoting a risk culture that puts Members First within the Society and overseeing implementation and maintenance of the Society's Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF).

- Reviewing key risk policies and frameworks, including key risk appetite statements.
- Ensuring the Executives are held to account to identify, assess and manage risks in accordance with the requirements of the ERMF.
- Monitoring risks on behalf of the Board.

During 2024, the Committee met on seven separate occasions. The Committee continues to operate in a flexible manner with a mixture of meetings held remotely and in person throughout the year to ensure that the Committee could appropriately oversee the Society's risk profile.

3.2.5. Information flow on risk to The Board

As shown in Figure 1 above the Society operates an Executive Risk Committee (ERC) which reports to the Chief Executive Officer. The Executive Risk Committee has a number of sub-committees; Retail Credit Committee (RCRC); Conduct Risk and Compliance Committee (CRCC); Assets and Liabilities Committee (ALCO); Model Risk Committee (MRC); Operational Risk Committee (ORC); Technology Risk Committee (TRC); Data Risk Committee (DRC) and Climate Risk Forum (CRF). Information flows through this committee structure to the Board Risk Committee and ultimately the Board.

The Board Risk Committee considered a consolidated risk report from the Society's Chief Risk Officer (CRO) at each meeting. These reports highlighted key and emerging risks for consideration by the Committee.

4. Disclosure of the scope of application

The scope of accounting consolidation and the scope of regulatory consolidation are exactly the same so the carrying values as reported in published financial statements and under scope of regulatory consolidation have been reported in one column in line with the guidance ANNEX VI – Instructions for disclosure of information on the scope of application of the regulatory framework.

4.1. Template UK LI1 - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories

		Carrying values as reported in published financial statements and under scope of regulatory consolidation	Subject to the credit risk framework	Subject to the CCR framework	Not subject to own funds requirements or subject to deduction from own funds
	Breakdown by asset classes according to the balance sheet in the published financial statements	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Cash and balances with the Bank of England	9,893	9,893	_	_
2	Loans and advances to financial institutions	329	329	_	_
3	Debt securities	502	502	_	—
4	Loans and advances to customers	51,801	51,801	_	—
5	Hedge accounting adjustment	(448)	(448)	_	—
6	Derivative financial instruments	1,084	_	1,084	—
7	Investment in equity shares	9	9	—	—
9	Intangible assets	55	_	—	55
10	Property, plant and equipment	47	47	_	_
11	Other assets	725	725	_	_
12	Prepayments and accrued income	34	34	_	_
	Total assets	64,031	62,892	1,084	55

Table 5 UK LI1 - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement asset categories with regulatory risk categories

		Carrying values as reported in published financial statements and under scope of regulatory consolidation	Subject to the credit risk framework	Subject to the CCR framework	Not subject to own funds requirements or subject to deduction from own funds
	Breakdown by liability classes according to the balance sheet in the published financial statements	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Shares	49,343	_	_	49,343
2	Deposits from banks	3,932	_	_	3,932
4	Amounts owed to other customers	82	_	_	82
5	Debt securities in issue	6,543	_	_	6,543
6	Hedge accounting adjustment	(68)	_	_	(68)
7	Derivative financial instruments	305	_	305	_
8	Current tax liability	29	_	_	29
9	Deferred tax liability	86	_	_	86
10	Accruals and deferred income	59	_	_	59
11	Other liabilities	28	_	_	28
13	Pension benefit obligations	5	_	_	5
14	Subordinated liabilities	15	_	_	15
15	Subscribed capital	42	_	_	42
16	General reserve	2,756	_	_	2,756
17	Other equity instruments	665	_	_	665
18	Fair value through other comprehensive income reserve	(1)	_	_	(1)
19	Cash flow hedge reserve	210	_	_	210
	Total liabilities	64,031		305	63,726

 Table 6 UK LI1 - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement liability categories with regulatory risk categories

4.2. Template UK LI2 - Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements

There are no differences between the regulatory exposure amounts and the carrying values in the financial statements.

4.3. Template UK LI3 - Outline of the differences in the scopes of consolidation (entity by entity)

There are no differences between the scopes of consolidation.

4.3.1. Impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or to the repayment of liabilities within the group

There are no current or expected material practical or legal impediments to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities between the Society and its subsidiaries.

4.3.2. Subsidiaries not included in the consolidation with own funds less than required

There are no subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidation.

4.3.3. Use of derogation referred to in Article 7 CRR or individual consolidation method laid down in Article 9 CRR

The Society uses the Individual consolidation method in accordance with Article 9 of the CRR and reports to the PRA on both a UK Consolidation basis and a Solo-consolidated basis.

4.3.4. Aggregate amount by which the actual own funds are less than required in all subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidation

There are no subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidation.

4.4. Template UK PV1: Prudent valuation adjustments (PVA)

The Society uses the Simplified Approach for Additional valuation adjustments calculation (AVA) not the Core Approach so does not disclose Template UK PV1.

5. Disclosure of own funds

The template below shows the composition of the Society's own funds as at 31 December 2024.

5.1. Template UK CC1 - Composition of regulatory own funds

		Amounts	Source based on reference numbers/letters of the balance sheet under the regulatory scope of consolidation
		31 December 2024	
		£m	
Common	Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: instruments and reserves		
2	Retained earnings	2,597	E1
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	168	E3
UK-5a	Independently reviewed interim profits net of any foreseeable charge or dividend	177	E1
6	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	2,942	
			I
7	Additional value adjustments (negative amount)	(1)	
8	Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	(50)	A3
11	Fair value reserves related to gains or losses on cash flow hedges of financial instruments that are not valued at fair value	(209)	E3
12	Negative amounts resulting from the calculation of expected loss amounts	(68)	
15	Defined-benefit pension fund assets (negative amount)	_	A3
27a	Other regulatory adjustments to CET1 capital (including IFRS 9 transitional adjustments when relevant)	1	
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	(327)	
29	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	2,615	
Additiona	l Tier 1 (AT1) capital: instruments		-
30	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	665	E2
36	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital before regulatory adjustments	665	
Additiona	l Tier 1 (AT1) capital: regulatory adjustments		·
44	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	665	
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	3,280	
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	3,320	
60	Total Risk exposure amount	9,341	

Table 7 UK CC1 Composition of regulatory own funds – CET1 and AT1

		Amounts	Source based on reference numbers/letters of the balance sheet under the regulatory scope of consolidation
		31 December 2024	
		£m	
Capital ra	tios and buffers		
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	28.0%	
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	35.1%	
63	Total capital (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	35.5%	
64	Institution CET1 overall capital requirement (CET1 requirement in accordance with Article 92 (1) CRR, plus additional CET1 requirement which the institution is required to hold in accordance with point (a) of Article 104(1) CRD, plus combined buffer requirement in accordance with Article 128(6) CRD) expressed as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	10.5%	
65	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.5%	
66	of which: countercyclical buffer requirement	2.0%	
68	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	22.0%	
Amounts	below the thresholds for deduction (before risk weighting)		
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount below 17.65% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met)	_	
Applicabl	e caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 2		
77	Cap on inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under standardised approach	4	
79	Cap for inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under internal ratings- based approach	47	

Table 8 UK CC1 Composition of regulatory own funds – Ratios

5.2. Template UK CC2 - Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements

		Balance sheet as in published financial statements and under regulatory scope of consolidation	Balance sheet as in published financial statements and under regulatory scope of consolidation	Reference
		31 December 2024	31 December 2023	
		£m	£m	
1	Loans and advances to customers	51,801	50,276	A1
2	Liquidity	10,724	10,924	A2
3	Other	1,506	1,263	A3
	Total assets	64,031	62,463	
1	Retail savings	49,343	47,582	L1
2	Wholesale funding	10,556	10,846	L2
3	Subordinated liabilities and subscribed capital	57	57	L3
4	Other	447	738	L4
	Total liabilities	60,403	59,223	
1	General reserve	2,754	2,574	E1
2	Other equity instruments	665	415	E2
3	Other	209	251	E3
	Total shareholders' equity	3,628	3,240	

Table 9 Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements

Ĕ
ē
Ξ
5
st
·=
S
Ξ
Ē
lia
e
<u>l</u>
<u>.</u>
P
р
ar
ts
E L
Ĭ
5
Ř
Ľ.
<u>s</u>
Б
Ξ
Ē
≥
0
Š
Ę
Ę
50
e E
of
ι.
ě
iture
eature
n feature:
ain feature:
Main feature
A: Main feature
CA: Main feature
CCA: Main feature
JK CCA: Main feature
e UK CCA: Main feature
ate UK CCA: Main feature
plate UK CCA: Main feature
mplate UK CCA: Main feature
Template UK CCA: Main feature
Template UK CCA: Main feature
Template UK CCA: Main feature
5.3. Template UK CCA: Main feature

					lualitative or qua	Qualitative or quantitative information	on		
		31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024
-	Issuer	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society	Coventry Building Society
2	Unique identifier (e.g. CUSIP, ISIN or Bloomberg identifier for private placement)	XS2826591740	GB000229074	XS2336054338	XS2699403106	XS2699403106	XS2702274064	XS2704925846	XS2777468674
2a	Public or private placement	Public	Public	Public	Private	Private	Private	Public	Public
ε	Governing law(s) of the instrument	English	English	English	English	English	English	English	English
3a	Contractual recognition of write down and conversion powers of resolution authorities	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Regul	Regulatory treatment								
4	Current treatment taking into account, where applicable, transitional CRR rules	AT1	Τ2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	Post-transitional CRR rules	AT1	Τ2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	Eligible at solo/(sub-)consolidated/ solo&(sub-)consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated	Solo and Consolidated
7	Instrument type (types to be specified by each jurisdiction)	Perpetual Capital Security	PIBS	Senior Non- Preferred	Senior Non- Preferred	Senior Non- Preferred	Senior Non- Preferred	Senior Non- Preferred	Senior Non- Preferred
œ	Amount recognised in regulatory capital or eligible liabilities (Currency in millions, as of most recent reporting date)	665	40	I	I	I	I	I	I
8.5	Amount recognised in MREL (as of most recent reporting date) (Currency in millions)	665	40	250	75	20	50	400	500
6	Nominal amount of instrument (Currency in millions)	665	40	250	75	20	50	400	500
UK-9a	Issue price (pence)	100	101	66	96	96	100	100	100
UK-9b	Redemption price (pence)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
10	Accounting classification	Shareholders' Equity	Liability - Amortised Cost	Liability - amortised cost	Liability - amortised cost	Liability - amortised cost	Liability - amortised cost	Liability - amortised cost	Liability - amortised cost
11	Original date of issuance	6/11/2024	5/28/1992	4/27/2021	10/5/2023	10/19/2023	10/10/2023	11/7/2023	3/12/2024
12	Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual	Dated	Dated	Dated	Dated	Dated	Dated
13	Original maturity date	N/A	N/A	47837	48857	48857	49958	46698	47554
14	Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

		31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024
15	Optional call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount	11/06/2029; par regulatory / tax call	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	49592	46333	47189
16	Subsequent call dates, if applicable	5 yearly	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a
17	Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed
18	Coupon rate and any related index	8.75%	12.13%	2.00%	6.50%	6.50%	6.923%	7.00%	5.875%
Coupo	Coupons / dividends								
19	Existence of a dividend stopper	N/A	N/A	No	No	No	No	No	No
UK-20a	Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of timing)	Fully Discretionary	Partial Discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
UK-20 b	Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of amount)	Fully Discretionary	Partial Discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
21	Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	oN	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
22	Noncumulative or cumulative	non- cumulative	non- cumulative	non-cumulative	non- cumulative	non-cumulative	non-cumulative	non-cumulative	non- cumulative
23	Convertible or non-convertible	convertible	non- convertible	non- convertible	non- convertible	non-convertible	non-convertible	non-convertible	non- convertible
24	If convertible, conversion trigger(s)	Contractual - CET1 ratio falling below 7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
25	If convertible, fully or partially	Fully	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
26	If convertible, conversion rate	One for every £67 held	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
27	If convertible, mandatory or optional conversion	Mandatory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
28	If convertible, specify instrument type convertible into	Core Capital Deferred Shares	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
29	If convertible, specify issuer of instrument it converts into	Coventry Building Society	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024
30	Write-down features	Contractual: none; statutory: via bail in	Contractual: none; statutory: via bail in	Contractual recognition of statutory bail in	Contractual recognition of statutory bail in	Contractual recognition of statutory bail in	Contractual recognition of statutory bail in	Contractual recognition of statutory bail in	Contractual recognition of statutory bail in
31	If write-down, write-down trigger(s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
32	If write-down, full or partial	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
33	If write-down, permanent or temporary	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
34	If temporary write-down, description of write-up mechanism	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
34a	Type of subordination (only for eligible liabilities)	N/A	N/A	Contractual	Contractual	Contractual	Contractual	Contractual	Contractual
UK-34 b		2	3	Ŀ	Ū	Ū	S	S	5
35	Position in subordination hierarcny in liquidation (specify instrument type immediately senior to instrument)	PIBS	Senior Non- Preferred	Senior Preferred	Senior Preferred	Senior Preferred	Senior Preferred	Senior Preferred	Senior Preferred
36	Non-compliant transitioned features	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
37	If yes, specify non-compliant features	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
37a	Link to the full term and conditions of the instrument (signposting)	Further information	_	ual Capital Securiti r information on th	es and PIBS is ava ne immaterial Tier	ilable on the Socie 2 subordinated de	on Perpetual Capital Securities and PIBS is available on the Society's website (www.coventrybuildingsociety.co.uk). Further information on the immaterial Tier 2 subordinated debt is available on request.	.coventrybuildingsc equest.	iciety.co.uk).
	1								

Table 11 UK CCA: Main features of regulatory own funds instruments and eligible liabilities instruments part 2

6.1.	Template UK CCyB1 - Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer and UK CCyB2 - Amount of institution- specific countercyclical capital buffer
The c geogi the cu	The countercyclical buffer is an additional requirement introduced by CRD IV, calculated by applying a weighted average of country countercyclical buffer rates based on the geographical distribution of relevant exposures to the overall capital requirements of the Society. The following templates disclose information relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer as at 31 December 2024 in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2015/1555 on a consolidated basis.
In ac(In accordance with Regulation (EU) 1152/2014, as foreign credit exposures represent less than 2% of the Society's aggregate risk weighted exposures, all exposures have been

6. Disclosure of countercyclical capital buffers

ation (EU) 1152/2014, as foreign credit exposures represent less than 2% of the Society's aggregate risk weighted exposures, all exposures have been	JK. Exposures are as defined in Regulation (EU) 2015/1555 and in particular exclude exposures to sovereigns and supranationals.
In accordance with Regulation (EU) 1152/2014, as	allocated to the UK. Exposures are as defined in Re

	Countercyclical buffer rate (%)		2%	
	Own fund ted requirements ure weights nts (%)		100%	100%
	Risk- weighted exposure amounts		8,005	8,005
	Total		640	640
Own fund requirements	Relevant Relevant credit exposures - exposures - exposures - exposures - exposures - exposures - texposures - exposures - texposures - exposures - texposures - exposures - texposures - exposures - texposures - texposures - texposures - exposures - exposures - texposures - exposures - exposures - texposures - exposures - exposure		0	0
Own fund r	Relevant credit exposures – Market risk		0	0
	Relevant credit risk exposures - Credit risk		640	640
	Total exposure value		53,954	53,954
Securitisation	exposures Exposure value for non-trading book		I	I
t credit Market risk	Value of trading book exposures for internal models		I	I
Relevant credit exposures – Market risk	Sum of long and short positions of trading book exposures for SA		I	I
exposures	Exposure value under the IRB approach		53,756	53,756
General credit exposures	Exposure value under the standardised approach		198	198
		Breakdown by country:	United Kingdom	Total
	£m	010		020

Table 12 UK CCyB1 - Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer

The Society is subject to an institution specific countercyclical capital buffer as shown in the table below.

		Em
1	Total risk exposure amount	9,341
2	Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer rate	2%
ĸ	Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer requirement	187

Table 13 UK CCyB2 - Amount of institution-specific countercyclical capital buffer

7. Disclosure of liquidity requirements

7.1. Table UK LIQA - Qualitative information on liquidity risk management

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Society has insufficient funds to meet its obligations as they fall due. Funding risk reflects an inability to access funding markets or to do so only at excessive cost. Liquidity risk is difficult to fully eliminate as the Society's business model is to transform on-demand and relatively short-dated retail deposits to contractually much longer-term mortgage lending (maturity transformation).

7.1.1. Strategies and processes to manage liquidity risk

The Society has articulated its strategy for managing liquidity risk as:

- Having a clear and appropriate internally defined liquidity risk appetite which is prudent and ensures the Society remains a going concern post stress,
- utilising a diversified funding model that maintains active retail and wholesale franchises within regulatory constraints,
- ensuring adequate liquidity is maintained for the strategic planning period,
- maintaining a High-Quality Liquid Asset portfolio, with constituent portfolios aligned to risk appetite, and
- retaining an operational liquidity buffer to provide adequate coverage for forecasting uncertainties, with the ability to fund short term liquidity gaps through:
 - Retail Acquisition,
 - Bank of England SMF Liquidity Operations (e.g., indexed long-term repo).

The strategy is augmented with sound risk management practices and metrics outlined within the ILAAP.

7.1.2. Liquidity risk management

Day-to-day responsibility for liquidity management is delegated to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer with oversight by Assets and Liabilities Committee, Board Risk Committee and the Board. A sub-committee of Assets and Liabilities Committee called Liquidity Management Committee acts as a conduit for analysis and proposals to promote detailed challenge at working level prior to any progression to Assets and Liabilities Committee. The Financial and Model Risk function is responsible for oversight and provides insight into key capital, liquidity and interest rate risk in the banking book.

7.1.3. The centralisation of liquidity management and interaction between the group's units

Liquidity risk is managed on a Group basis (including all subsidiary entities) with day-to-day responsibility delegated to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer with oversight by Assets and Liabilities Committee, Board Risk Committee and the Board.

7.1.4. Liquidity risk reporting and measurement systems

The Liquidity Planning team within Treasury report the daily measurement of all relevant liquidity and funding measures, commercial cash flows and applicable risk exposures. This is supplemented by a detailed weekly reporting pack and a rolling forecast which considers the variance in performance versus plan – in addition to all other relevant liquidity and funding metrics.

7.1.5. Hedging and mitigating liquidity risk

The Society holds sufficient liquidity to withstand a severe but plausible stress and operate within limits set by the Board. Business as usual and stressed liquidity requirements (including asset encumbrance) are forecast over the strategic plan and periodically updated to ensure the prevailing strategy remains suitable. Ad hoc stress testing is also performed to identify any new risks and to skew specific existing risks in developing the Society's understanding of its balance sheet

The Society maintains a diversified funding base to avoid any overreliance on any funding source, type or term. As a building society, a large proportion of the Society's funding comes from retail savings deposits however these are managed by monitoring relevant concentrations including by product type and customer activation (e.g. term/bonus maturity). The Society wholesale's funding is also diversified to consider these factors and to utilise both owner-occupied and buy to let mortgage assets to increase contingent drawing capacity.

The Society employs monetisation testing of its liquidity security portfolio to ensure channels remain open to the Society and to minimise negative signalling from these activities.

7.1.6. The Society's contingency funding plans.

The Society's contingency funding plan is incorporated in its Recovery Plan ('RP'). The RP includes:

- Early Warning Indicators ('EWIs') and Invocation Triggers Points ('ITPs') which identify risk factors that forewarn of future liquidity stress events. EWIs are particularly calibrated at an early stage so that preventative measures can be taken, although invocation of the Recovery Plan is not a requirement. The RP includes a detailed explanation of the EWI and ITPs that are in place.
- an identified selection of available recovery options to mitigate the impact of a liquidity stress. These sources are updated and validated annually with any significant changes reported to, and agreed by, Assets and Liabilities Committee. In the event of a stress, the sources will be updated on request to support ongoing decision-making.
- an analysis detailing the Society's Total Recovery Capacity, representing the benefit available from deploying Recovery options and the ensuing impact of deploying these options in a range of scenarios.
- an effective plan of action to equip senior management and the Board with the most effective responses to a stress event, along with delivery of appropriate management information that is both relevant and timely.
- clear allocation of roles and responsibility, with the names and contact details of members of the team responsible for implementation.
- guidance on communication with key external stakeholders so that the reputational risks of the Society can be managed.

The Recovery Plan is regularly updated to ensure that it remains relevant and operationally robust.

7.1.7. Liquidity risk stress testing

The Society assesses the adequacy of its liquidity resources through a process of stress testing and scenario testing. These internally defined tests complement the regulatory Liquidity Coverage Ratio and allow the Society to prove it meets the Overall Liquidity Adequacy Rule (OLAR) as specified under the ILAAP rules.

Regular liquidity stress testing is performed by Treasury and is reported monthly to Assets and Liabilities Committee. The stress testing analysis is performed daily and reviewed by senior management, whilst also being incorporated into the daily liquidity risk report to evidence compliance with the Liquidity Risk Appetite. In order to identify and analyse the Society's risk exposures outside of the regular stress testing, Treasury undertake an alternative stress tests every twelve months, with the results presented to Assets and Liabilities Committee. This supplements the stress testing undertaken in the Recovery Plan, Reverse Stress Testing and as part of the ILAAP.

The liquidity stress tests described in this section incorporate the on and off-balance sheet risks of the Society's business model, with reference to the fourteen liquidity risk drivers specified under the ILAAP rules. The results of the stress tests determine the required level of liquidity the Society must hold, both on a current and forecast basis.

The structure of the stress tests is defined by the Society and agreed as a core part of the Society's Liquidity Risk Appetite (LRA).

The liquidity risk drivers detailed in the ILAAP rules are set out below. In this section, the assumptions used in the Society's assessment of these risk drivers are set out. These assumptions have been previously approved by Assets and Liabilities Committee and Board Risk Committee, and form part of the ILAAP document and process approved by Board.

The ILAAP rules require the Society to undertake stress testing based on four scenarios:

- firm-specific stress;
- cyber stress;
- market-wide stress; and
- combined stress.

The Combined stress test is the most severe of these tests and is currently used within the Society's Liquidity Risk Appetite for all periods (7, 30 and 90-day stress) and models the simultaneous impact of :

Firm-Specific Liquidity Stress – an unforeseen Society specific liquidity stress event affecting both wholesale lenders and retail depositors. This results in large retail withdrawals in the short-term and a lowered ability to raise new funding. A higher volume of maturing wholesale deposits is required to be repaid, and the Society's rating is impacted by two notches from both rating agencies, triggering additional contractual liquidity requirements; and

Market-Wide Liquidity Stress – an unforeseen sector-wide liquidity stress occurs which indirectly affects the Society. This is characterised by increased risk concerns amongst market participants and (less so) in retail depositors. Wholesale rollover is reduced as other market participants become more risk averse. This impairs the requirement to raise liquidity from the existing liquidity portfolio leading to increased collateral haircuts. Retail depositors also look to spread funds across a number of deposit takers to maximise their FSCS coverage but would not seek to take savings out of the system altogether. The scenario is cognisant of developments in the FSCS scheme since 2008, and in the Bank of England sterling monetary framework.

The Combined liquidity stress takes the Society's latest liquidity position and calculates the survival period after applying all elements of the stress. Survival under this stress scenario is defined as:

- holding sufficient cash to meet both the outflows of the first 7 days and the stressed intra-day liquidity requirement,
- holding sufficient HQLA to meet the outflows of the first 30 days of a stress plus the survival point at the end of the 30 days, and
- total liquidity resources to meet further outflows up to a 90-day horizon and to meet the survival point at the end of this period.

7.1.8. The adequacy of liquidity risk management arrangements

The Society has a robust policy framework in place to manage liquidity and funding risks. The ILAAP is the key document that supports this framework. The Society's ILAAP is approved by the Board on an annual basis and demonstrates compliance with PRA Rulebook following review by second line. The Society complies with the required liquidity systems and controls as evidenced within the ILAA.

7.1.9. Management approved liquidity risk statement

The Society's management of liquidity and funding risk is designed to ensure the Society remains a going concern both during and at the conclusion of any stress and retains the confidence of external shareholders. This is reflected through the Society's risk appetite which is:

- the Society will be able to survive the first seven days of an applicable stress solely with balances held with the Bank of England;
- the Society will maintain sufficient High Quality Liquid Assets in order to maintain an acceptable LCR ratio throughout the 30-days of an applicable stress scenario;
- the Society will maintain sufficient liquidity resources, including prepositioned contingent funding capacity, in order to maintain an acceptable LCR % during the first 90 days of a combined stress;
- through management action, the Society intends to always remain above regulatory minima; and
- no more than 25% of the Society's funding will be through wholesale funding (as % of SDLs, excluding central bank funding).

7.2. Template UK LIQ1 - Quantitative information of LCR

The LCR is designed to ensure that institutions hold a sufficient reserve of HQLA to allow them to survive a period of significant liquidity stress lasting 30 calendar days.

		Total	unweighted v	Total unweighted value (average) £m) £m	Tota	al weighted val	Total weighted value (average) £m	E
UK 1a	Quarter ending on (DD Month YYY)	31-Dec-24	30-Sep-24	30-Jun-24	31-Mar-24	31-Dec-24	30-Sep-24	30-Jun-24	31-Mar-24
UK 1b	Number of data points	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
HIGH-QU	HIGH-QUALITY LIQUID ASSETS								
1	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					9,946	9,865	9,933	10,050
CASH - OI	CASH – OUTFLOWS							-	
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:	48,902	48,452	47,908	47,021	2,512	2,319	2,185	2,050
ſ	Stable deposits	17,777	18,100	17,975	17,988	889	905	899	899
4	Less stable deposits	11,280	10,053	9,568	9,078	1,623	1,414	1,286	1,151
ы	Unsecured wholesale funding	84	102	162	157	68	85	142	134
7	Non-operational deposits (all counterparties)	77	96	119	116	61	79	66	92
∞	Unsecured debt	7	9	43	42	7	9	43	42
6	Secured wholesale funding					20	20	20	21
10	Additional requirements	1,120	1,183	1,117	1,126	1,120	1,183	1,117	1,126
11	Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements	1,043	1,069	1,011	1,011	1,043	1,069	1,011	1,011
12	Outflows related to loss of funding on debt products	77	114	106	115	77	114	106	115
14	Other contractual funding obligations	25	28	30	33	1	3	5	6
15	Other contingent funding obligations	2,495	2,519	2,685	2,870	519	516	563	612
16	TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS					4,240	4,126	4,032	3,952
CASH - INFLOWS	FLOWS							-	
17	Secured lending (e.g. reverse repos)	50	50	75	121	I	l	l	l
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures	372	352	335	289	314	295	278	232
19	Other cash inflows	40	76	69	74	40	76	68	74
20	TOTAL CASH INFLOWS	462	478	479	484	354	371	346	306
UK-20c	Inflows subject to 75% cap	462	478	479	484	354	371	346	306
TOTAL AD	TOTAL ADJUSTED VALUE								
UK-21	LIQUIDITY BUFFER					9,946	9,865	9,933	10,050
22	TOTAL NET CASH OUTFLOWS					3,885	3,755	3,686	3,646
23	LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO					258.2%	264.7%	270.6%	276.7%

Table 14 UK LIQ1 - Quantitative information of LCR

7.3. Table UK LIQB on qualitative information on LCR, which complements template UK LIQ1

7.3.1. The main drivers of LCR

Retail deposits are the main driver of the LCR requirement, representing 62% of the total average weighted cash outflows at 31 December 2024. Periodic changes in the retail savings requirement result from the maturity of term deposits falling into the LCR stress window or relative changes in Society savings rates versus the market.

7.3.2. Changes in the LCR over time

The Society reported a point-in-time LCR of 207% as at 31 December 2024 (2023: 227%) which is significantly above the regulatory requirement. The average LCR stated in Template UK LIQ1 also shows a relative decrease over the year due to the increase in retail deposit requirements, thereby decreasing the net surplus.

7.3.3. The concentration of funding sources

The Society's retail deposit base was £49 billion as of 31 December 2024 (2023: £48 billion), which represents 83% (2023: 81%) of the Society's liabilities (excluding capital). The Society held £11 billion (2023: £11 billion) of wholesale funding; 90% (2023: 82%) of this funding was from longer-term sources such as covered bonds, medium-term notes, residential mortgage-backed securities and the Bank of England's TFSME. The relatively large size of long-term wholesale funding deals and their typical structure as bullet maturity creates re-financing risk. As such wholesale maturities are monitored and spread to avoid concentrations.

7.3.4. The composition of the Society's liquidity buffer

The Society's liquidity buffer is predominantly composed of the Bank of England Reserve Account with the remainder being a mix of high-quality debt security assets including UK Gilts, covered bonds, residential mortgage-backed securities and debt issuance from supranationals.

7.3.5. Derivative exposures and potential collateral calls

The Society only undertakes derivative trades with external counterparties where a Credit Support Annex (CSA) is in place. Under the terms of a CSA, the Society typically posts and receives collateral with counterparty banks (including its central clearing brokers) that offset the net mark-to-market position of derivatives with the counterparty. These arrangements are effective in mitigating the credit risk incurred in derivatives but create a potential liquidity requirement via initial margin and variation margin calls.

7.3.6. Currency mismatch in the LCR

The Society does not report in any material currencies other than Sterling.

7.3.7. Template UK LIQ2: Net Stable Funding Ratio

The template below provides details of the calculation of the Society's Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR). The NSFR is calculated as an average of the current and preceding quarters.

			31 [December 2	2024	
		Unweighted v	alue by resid	ual maturit	у	Weighted
		No maturity	<6 months	6 months to < 1yr	≥ 1yr	value
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Availabl	e stable funding (ASF) Items					
1	Capital items and instruments	3,106	7	-	20	3,126
2	Own funds	3,106	7	-	20	3,126
4	Retail deposits		42,247	4,066	2,678	46,064
5	Stable deposits		30,256	3,827	2,476	34,855
6	Less stable deposits		11,991	239	202	11,209
7	Wholesale funding:		1,027	1,749	6,741	7,618
9	Other wholesale funding		1,027	1,749	6,741	7,618
11	Other liabilities:	2	136	-	118	118
13	All other liabilities and capital instruments not included in the above categories		136	-	118	118
14	Total available stable funding (ASF)					56,926
Require	d stable funding (RSF) Items			•		
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					366
UK-15a	Assets encumbered for more than 12m in cover pool		105	90	4,957	4,380
17	Performing loans and securities:		633	437	44,642	31,256
18	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customers collateralised by Level 1 HQLA subject to 0% haircut		103	-	-	_
19	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customer collateralised by other assets and loans and advances to financial institutions		37	-	_	4
20	Performing loans to non- financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, and PSEs of which:		_	-	2	_
22	Performing residential mortgages, of which:		493	437	44,640	31,253
23	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk		442	393	40,653	27,815
26	Other assets:		87	1	1,465	1,487
28	Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of CCPs		76	_	309	329
29	NSFR derivative assets		1			1
30	NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted		9			
31	All other assets not included in the above categories		1	1	1,156	1,157
32	Off-balance sheet items		2,490			125
33	Total RSF					37,615
34	Net Stable Funding Ratio (%)					151.3%

Table 15 UK LIQ1 - Quantitative information of NSFR

8. Disclosure of credit risk quality

8.1. Table UK CRA: General qualitative information about credit risk

8.1.1. Management approved credit risk statement

The Society has credit risk exposures related to its retail portfolio of owner-occupier and buy to let mortgages and its treasury activities.

The Society provides low risk, high quality owner-occupier and buy to let mortgage products secured by immovable property within the UK.

The Society has a low appetite for treasury credit risk and restricts exposures to good quality counterparties with a low risk of failure. Treasury investments in financial institutions are predominantly with highly rated UK banks and systemically important international institutions in addition to multilateral development banks (MDBs), such as the European Investment Bank. The Society also invests in covered bonds and residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS).

8.1.2. Criteria and approach for defining credit risk management policy

Credit risk in the Society's mortgage book only crystallises in the event that a borrower is unable to repay the mortgage and, as a result, the property on which the mortgage is secured has to be repossessed and sold at a price which is insufficient to allow the borrower to repay the loan.

The Board sets prudent credit risk limits within the context of the Society's overall risk appetite, and these are reflected in the Society's lending policy and credit controls.

All mortgage applications are assessed against the Society's lending policy criteria to ensure consistent credit decision making, and lending within the Society's credit risk appetite. This assessment uses stressed interest rates to ensure affordability even if interest rates increase. Assurance that lending decisions are robust and within the Society's policy is provided through the three lines of defence model.

All underwriting is done by the Society and its key lending criteria include:

- Prudent loan to value limits;
- a requirement that buy-to-let loans are against properties which are readily sellable into the owneroccupier market; and
- restrictions on the maximum number of properties in buy to let portfolios.

The Society ensures that there is no over-exposure to any geographical region or counterparty and that its mortgage portfolio as a whole can withstand a range of macroeconomic and specific stress scenarios.

The Society continues to focus on low risk, high quality owner-occupier and buy to let mortgages. Non-traditional mortgage lending outside these core segments was discontinued in 2008.

Buy to let lending continues to be provided mainly on an interest only basis reflecting the underlying investment nature of buy to let properties which can be sold to repay the capital amount. Interest only lending was 4.9% of the owner-occupier portfolio at 31 December 2024 (2023: 5.4%) with an average loan to value of 33.4% (2023: 35.1%).

8.1.3. Credit risk management

The Retail Credit Risk Committee (RCRC), Board Risk Committee (BRC), and ultimately the Board oversee the Society's management of retail credit risks, supported by the Retail Credit Risk department and Retail Credit Risk Measurement department, both reporting to the Chief Risk Officer. A separate Retail Credit Risk Oversight team within the Retail Credit Risk department also provides review and challenge.

The Retail Credit Risk department is responsible for all aspects of analysing and reporting on the performance of the loan portfolio and for maintaining and updating the Society's Lending Policy and its implementation in the

Society's decisioning systems. The department works closely with stakeholders across the Society, for example in product design and distribution, to ensure that the evolution of the Society's Lending Policy both meets commercial requirements with regard to income and margin and risk appetite limits as set and agreed by the Board.

The Risk Measurement function comprises of a Model Development team that builds all the retail credit models and a Capital and Impairment team that executes the models, generates, and reports on impairment provisions and capital calculations, undertakes credit model performance reporting, and is responsible for regulatory reporting of capital and impairment.

The Model Risk Committee (MRC) and ultimately Board Risk Committee oversee the management of model risk and is the governing committee with oversight over credit model development and deployment in the business, for example with respect to the development of application and behavioural scorecards, capital (IRB) models for regulatory capital calculations, and IFRS 9 models for Expected Credit Loss calculations.

8.1.4. Credit risk reporting and measurement systems

Credit Risk reporting and measurement is conducted from a dedicated analytics and data platform. The system consists of a credit risk data warehouse that contains both derived and raw data that is sourced from core Society data tables in a fully governed environment, and analytical tools to extract, manipulate, and report on the data. The data warehouse and analytical tools sit on a dedicated platform of servers and storage, overseen and managed by a team of data engineers and platform administrators.

Credit models are built using the data in the credit risk data warehouse and are executed in the credit risk platform. The outputs of the models are used for a variety of purposes including regulatory capital and impairment provision calculations. All regulatory and accounting reporting of credit model outputs are also sourced from the data warehouse.

The credit risk data warehouse is also used as the source of portfolio analytics and reporting to senior risk committees and for external reporting and disclosures.

8.1.5. Hedging and mitigating credit risk

The Society does not employ credit risk mitigation (CRM) techniques in relation to retail credit risk apart from taking a first legal charge on each property being offered as security for a mortgage.

All properties taken as security are valued at the outset of the loan and when any further advance is made during the lifetime of the loan.

The initial valuations of properties are determined by the Credit Risk function using a variety of techniques. These techniques include internal physical inspection with written reports by a qualified Royal Institutions of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) surveyor as well as Automated Valuation Models or desktop valuations. The credit risk function oversees the techniques used, and independently assesses the accuracy of valuations which are performed.

Regular reviews of the appropriateness and accuracy of the various valuation methods used by the Society are undertaken, to ensure these remain appropriate.

Assumptions regarding realisation (or work-out) costs, the time it takes to effect repossession and sale, and the effect of forced sale on estimated property values are updated regularly and are used in the impairment model to determine the realistic value that could be achieved upon repossession and sale of a property.

8.1.6. The relationships between credit risk management, risk control, compliance and internal audit functions

The Retail Credit Risk and Risk Measurement functions, reporting to the Chief Risk Officer, sit within the first line in the Society's three lines of defence model.

The second line function, also reporting to the Chief Risk Officer, is responsible for independent review and challenge of the activities of the first line credit risk teams. Operating in accordance with the Society's Risk Management Framework, the second line provides evidence of review and challenge to the governance committees who must be satisfied those proposals made in the first line, for example with regard to lending policies and retail credit risk appetite (from the Retail Credit Risk team) and for new models or changes to models (from the Risk Measurement team), have been appropriately reviewed and any challenges have been satisfactorily addressed.

The Internal Audit function, reporting to the Chief Internal Auditor, forms the third line of defence and seeks evidence that there are appropriate controls in place to ensure that the first and second lines are operating in a manner consistent with the Society's Risk Management Framework. Internal Audit has open invitations to attend all relevant risk committees (RCRC, MRC, and any sub-committees thereof) and reports through to Board Audit Committee its opinion on how the first and second lines are complying with the requirements of the Risk Management Framework.

8.2. Table UK CRB: Additional disclosure related to the credit quality of assets

8.2.1. 'Past-due' and 'impaired' exposures

Under IFRS 9 the Society calculates impairment provisions on loans and advances to customers on an expected credit loss (ECL) basis and not on an incurred loss basis. ECL provisions are based on an assessment of probability of default, loss given default and exposure at default in a range of forward-looking scenarios.

IFRS 9 requires the Society to categorise customer loans into one of three stages at the balance sheet date. Assets that are 'performing' are shown in stage 1; assets where there has been a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition or 'deteriorating' assets are in stage 2; and accounts which are credit impaired or in 'default' are in stage 3. Under IFRS 9, loans are generally treated as being in 'default' if they are three or more months in arrears, have been three or more months in arrears in the last 12 months or have other specific unlikeliness to pay indicators. Equity release loans are treated as being in default once the loan is 12 months past the contractual trigger event. IFRS 9 requires a 12-month ECL provision on all stage 1 assets and a lifetime ECL provision on all stage 2 and 3 assets.

The definition of default is aligned for accounting and regulatory purposes.

All exposures that are past due by more than 90 days are impaired.

8.2.2. Description of methods used for determining general and specific credit risk adjustments

Impairment on loans and advances to customers

Under IFRS 9, impairment provisions or expected credit losses (ECLs) are required to be calculated on amortised cost, fair value through other comprehensive income assets and mortgage pipeline commitments.

For the Group substantially all ECLs relate to loans and advances to customers and the tables below provide additional information. Further information on the credit quality of these loans, including by IFRS 9 stage, is included in note 14 in Annual Report & Accounts 2024.

Given the low-risk nature of the Society's treasury assets, all have been assessed as performing throughout the period and therefore the resulting ECL is immaterial.

Calculation of expected credit loss provisions under IFRS 9

The Board Audit Committee continued to review the Society's IFRS 9 accounting policies during 2024 to ensure that they remain appropriate.
The committee reviewed the basis of calculating ECLs including the method for determining a significant increase in credit risk and the application of post model adjustments to the overall ECL provision, including the potential impact of climate change on the Society's mortgage portfolio.

The calculation of ECLs for loans and advances to customers has continued to require a significant degree of management judgement due to the unprecedented impact of the uncertainty regarding the UK's economic volatility and inflationary pressures. The Board Audit Committee's role is to make sure that appropriate judgements are applied.

8.2.3. Forborne exposures

The Society exercises forbearance if it is in the best interests of the borrower. Forbearance measures that the Society may offer are:

- arrangements, where monthly payments are maintained, and the arrears are repaid over a period;
- concessions, where the Society agrees to accept either the normal monthly payment with no contribution towards paying off the outstanding arrears, reduced payments, or in exceptional circumstances no repayments for a short period;
- mortgage term extensions to reduce the amount of the monthly payment as part of a longer-term solution; and
- a change of product which results in more sustainable monthly payments or a temporary transfer to interest only repayments.

On very rare occasions, arrears may be capitalised, or the Society may agree to change repayment mortgages to interest only terms for a temporary period as a means of exercising forbearance.

Where a loan is up to date, the Society may agree a short-term payment holiday as a way of allowing borrowers to resolve financial difficulties, in which case this is treated as a forbearance measure rather than as one where the borrower is using a product feature. Forbearance payment holidays are for a maximum of three months and are only given where the borrower can afford the post-holiday monthly repayments.

The Society also subscribes to the provisions of the Mortgage Charter to supports customers seeking assistance when facing financial difficulty that may cause them to miss their mortgage payments. To date there has been relatively few requests for such assistance under the Charter.

Template UK CR1: Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions 8.3.

S.	
_	
·	
S	
5	
õ	
5	
g	
Ē	
σ	
P	
Ð	
Ē	
Ļ	
ള	
udin	
ō	
5	
.≧	
es	
2	
n	
SC	
8	
exposures	
Ð	
Ś	
\sim	
÷	
ciet	
õ	
<u>o</u>	
S	
e	
-	
<u> </u>	
ţ	
fth	
<u> </u>	
fth	
lity of th	
lity of th	
lity of th	
quality of th	
t quality of th	
dit quality of th	
dit quality of th	
t quality of th	
dit quality of th	
dit quality of th	
dit quality of th	
dit quality of th	
of the credit quality of th	
ils of the credit quality of th	
ils of the credit quality of th	
tails of the credit quality of th	
tails of the credit quality of th	
details of the credit quality of th	
details of the credit quality of th	
les details of the credit quality of th	
ides details of the credit quality of th	
ides details of the credit quality of th	
ides details of the credit quality of th	
provides details of the credit quality of th	
ides details of the credit quality of th	
provides details of the credit quality of th	
w provides details of the credit quality of th	
below provides details of the credit quality of th	
below provides details of the credit quality of th	
le below provides details of the credit quality of th	
le below provides details of the credit quality of th	
le below provides details of the credit quality of th	
table below provides details of the credit quality of th	
e table below provides details of the credit quality of th	
table below provides details of the credit quality of th	

		Gross carrying amount/nominal amount	ոց amount/	nominal a	mount		Accumul	lated impe fair value	Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions	cumulate dit risk al	ed negative nd provisio	: changes Ins	-	Collateral and financial guarantees received	nd financial s received
	Performing exposures	sxposures		Non-performing	orming exp	exposures	Performing exp accumulated in and provisions	Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions	ires – irment	Non-pe – accun accumu change: credit ri	Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions	xposures pairment, itive ue due to visions	Accumulated partial write- off	On performing	On non- performing
		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3	-	Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3			
	£m	Em	Ę	Ę	Ę	Ę	Ę	Ę	Ę	Ę	£m	Ę	£m	£m	£m
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	10,205	10,205	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I		Ι	I
Loans and advances	51,469	46,230	5,239	356	9	350	(13)	(2)	(11)	(11)	Ι	(11)	I	51,361	345
Households	51,469	46,230	5,239	356	9	350	(13)	(2)	(11)	(11)	I	(11)	1	51,361	345
Debt securities	502	502	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι
General governments	483	483	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι
Credit institutions	19	19	I	I	I	Ι		I	Ι	I	I	Ι	1	Ι	1
Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,915	1,914	1	l		Ι		ļ		Ι	-	Ι			Ι
Households	1,915	1,914	1			Ι		ļ		Ι	-	Ι			Ι
Total	64,091	58,851	5,240	356	9	350	(13)	(2)	(11)	(11)	Ι	(11)	Ι	51,361	345

Table 16 UK CR1: Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions

8.4. Template UK CR1-A: Maturity of exposures

				Net expos	ure value		
		On demand	<= 1 year	> 1 year <= 5 years	> 5 years	No stated maturity	Total
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Loans and advances	46	94	1,528	50,134	_	51,802
2	Debt securities	—	79	297	126	_	502
3	Total	46	173	1,825	50,260	_	52,304

The maturity profile of the Society's exposures is set out in the template below.

Table 17 UK CR1-A: Maturity of exposures

8.5. Template UK CR2: Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances and Template UK CR2a: Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances and related net accumulated recoveries

The Society is not required to disclose this template.

8.6. Template UK CQ1: Credit quality of forborne exposures

The template below provides details of the credit quality of the Society's exposures including whether an exposure is forborne, defaulted or impaired.

	Gross carry exposures w				accumulate		financia receive	al received and al guarantees d on forborne sures (£m)
	Performing	Non-pe	rforming fo	orborne	On performing	On non- performing		Of which collateral and financial guarantees received on
	forborne		Of which defaulted	Of which impaired	forborne exposures	forborne exposures		non-performing exposures with forbearance measures
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Loans and advances	31	58	52	52		(1)	89	57
Households	31	58	52	52		(1)	89	57
Total	31	58	52	52	_	(1)	89	57

Table 18 UK CQ1: Credit quality of forborne exposures

010

070

100

8.7. Template UK CQ2: Quality of forbearance

The Society is not required to disclose this template.

Template UK CQ3: Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days 8. 8.

s.
~
e day
lue
td
as
þγþ
āi
sure
8
exp
рg
•
orm
Ē
-pe
d non-p
Ĕ
рц
g an
rming a
Ξ
٦
ē
fp
0 \
uality
пa
it q
edit
cre
Ð
Ę
þ
etails
eta
σ
des
vid
2
2
8
bel
Ð
lat
du
ten
Ū.
Ē

						Gross carı	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount	nominal amou	nt				
		Performing exposures	posures		Non-perfor	Non-performing exposures							
			Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days	Past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days		Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Past due >1 year ≤ > 2 years	Past due • 2 years ≤ 5 years	Past due > 5 years ≤ 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted
		Em	£m	£m	£m	Em	£m	£	£	£m	£	£m	fm
005	Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	10,205	10,205	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
010	Loans and advances	51,469	51,267	202	356	160	92	60	36	6	Ι	Ι	347
080	Households	51,469	51,267	202	356	160	92	60	36	6		Ι	347
060	Debt securities	502	502	Ι	Ι		Ι	Ι	I	-		Ι	I
110	General governments	483	483	Ι	Ι		Ι	Ι	Ι	-		Ι	I
120	Credit institutions	19	19	Ι	Ι		Ι	Ι	Ι	-		Ι	I
150	Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,915			Ι								I
210	Households	1,915			Ι								I
220	Total	64,091	61,974	202	356	160	92	60	36	6	Ι	Ι	347

Table 19 UK CQ3: Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days

8.9. Template UK CQ4: Quality of non-performing exposures by geography

The Society is not required to disclose this template.

8.10. Template UK CQ5: Credit quality of loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry

			Gross	carrying amoun	t	Accumulated	Accumulated
			Of which no	on-performing Of which defaulted	Of which loans and advances subject to impairment	impairment	negative changes in fair value due to credit risk on non- performing exposures
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
030	Manufacturing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	(0.1)	_
090	Accommodation and food service activities	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	_	_
190	Other services	0.1	_	_	0.1	(0.1)	_
200	Total	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	(0.2)	_

Table 20 UK CQ5: Credit quality of loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry

8.11. Template UK CQ6: Collateral valuation - loans and advances

The Society is not required to disclose this template.

8.12. Template UK CQ7: Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes

The Society is not required to disclose this template.

8.13. Template UK CQ8: Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes – vintage breakdown

The Society is not required to disclose this template.

9. Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques

9.1. Table UK CRC – Qualitative disclosure requirements related to CRM techniques

9.1.1. On- balance sheet and off-balance sheet netting

The Society may use on-balance sheet netting of mutual claims between itself and its counterparties as an eligible form of CRM. Netting is transactions between an institution and a single counterparty that are subject to a legally enforceable bilateral netting arrangement.

9.1.2. Eligible collateral evaluation and management

Credit risk is the likelihood of loss resulting from a borrower's failure to repay a loan or meet their contractual obligations. The Society does not employ CRM techniques on the retail credit front. The Society uses immovable property as collateral for the mortgage loans. In the instance of a default the Society realises the collateral through repossession and sale to pay off the outstanding balance in full (with any surplus returned to the borrower) or in part (if the realised sale proceeds are insufficient to pay back the outstanding balance).

9.1.3. Information about market or credit risk concentrations within the credit mitigation taken

A significant portion of exposures is to households via secured loans to prime owner-occupier borrowers and, on Buy to let (BTL) lending, largely to individual customers.

9.1.4. A description of the main types of collateral taken by the Society to mitigate credit risk

The Society's appetite for credit risk to such exposures is carefully defined to position the Society towards the prudent end of the market, with lending criteria that focuses on attracting customers of higher credit quality and good affordability as well as through lending on properties that meet well defined standards for type, construction, and saleability in the event of repossession. On BTL exposures the same property criteria apply which helps avoid overconcentration in rental only areas. The effectiveness of the Society's prudent risk appetite is demonstrated by the very low default experience and, where those small numbers of defaults do roll through to repossession and sale, on relatively low realised losses.

9.1.5. Guarantees and credit derivatives

During the year under review there were no guarantees or credit derivatives used as credit protection.

9.2. Template UK CR3 – CRM techniques overview: Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques

The Society secures its mortgage loans by collateral but applies no other CRM techniques.

		Unsecured carrying amount	Secured carry	/ing amount		
				Of which secured by collateral	Of which secu financial guar	ured by rantees
						Of which secured by credit derivatives
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Loans and advances	10,300	51,707	51,707	_	_
2	Debt securities	502	-	_	_	
3	Total	10,802	51,707	51,707	_	_
4	Of which non-performing exposures	11	346	346	_	_
5	Of which defaulted	-	336			

Table 21 UK CR3 – CRM techniques overview: Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques

10. Disclosure of the use of the credit risk standardised approach (excluding counterparty credit risk and securitisation positions)

10.1. Table UK CRD – Qualitative disclosure requirements related to standardised model

10.1.1. External credit assessment institutions (ECAIs) and export credit agencies (ECAs)

The Society uses Moody's credit ratings agency as their external credit assessment institution.

The Society uses the Standardised Approach (SA) for the exposure classes as shown in Table 23 below. The Society uses credit ratings published by Moody's for RMBS, covered bonds and institutions. Moody's is recognised as an eligible External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI) for this purpose. The Society does not use ECAIs for other credit risk exposure classes under the SA and there has been no change in the Society's use of ECAIs during the year.

Moody's issuer and issue credit ratings are mapped to the appropriate credit quality step and the appropriate risk weight is applied to the exposure as set out in Chapter 2 of Title II of Part Three CRR.

10.1.2. The association of the external ratings with credit quality steps

The following table shows the exposure values and rating associated with each credit quality step. There is no CRM applicable to these exposure values.

Credit Quality Step (CQS)	Moody's Rating	Risk Weight	Exposure Value	Capital Requirement	RWEA
		%	£m	£m	£m
Retail mortgage backed securities (RMBS)					
CQS1	Aaa - Aa3	10%	-	-	-
CQS1	Aaa - Aa3	20%	-	-	-
Total RMBS			-	-	-
Covered bonds					
CQS1	Aaa - Aa3	10%	-	-	-
CQS2	A1-A3	20%	-	-	-
Total covered bonds			-	-	-
Financial institutions					
CQS1	Aaa - Aa3	20%	64	1	13
CQS2	A1-A3	50%	116	5	58
Total financial institutions			180	6	71
Total			180	6	71

Table 22 Exposure values and rating associated with each credit quality step

10.2. Template UK CR4 – standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects

The template below shows the exposures that the Society applies the standardised approach to, by exposure class.

			efore CCF and e CRM		oost CCF and CRM	RWAs and R	WAs density
	Exposure classes	On-balance- sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures	On-balance- sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet amount	RWAs	RWAs density
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	%
1	Central governments or central banks	10,270	_	10,270	_	_	_
4	Multilateral development banks	19	_	19	_	—	_
6	Institutions	813	_	813	-	163	20.0%
8	Retail	8	_	8	_	6	75.0%
9	Secured by mortgages on immovable property	167	_	167	_	61	36.5%
10	Exposures in default	15	_	15	_	14	93.3%
15	Equity	9	_	9	_	9	100.0%
17	TOTAL	11,301	_	11,301	_	253	2.2%

Table 23 UK CR4 – standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects

10.3. Template UK CR5 – standardised approach

The table below provides details of the applicable risk weights applied to each exposure by exposure class under the standardised approach.

									Risk weight £m	it £m							Tate	Of
	Exposure classes	%0	2%	4%	10%	20%	35%	50%	70%	75%	100%	150%	250%	370%	1250%	Others	Готан	wnicn unrated
		Ę	Ęm	£	Ę	Ę	Ę	Ę	£m	Ę	Ę	£m	Ę	fm	£	£m	£	£m
-	Central governments or central banks	10,270	I	I	I	I	I	I	l	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	10,270	I
4	Multilateral development banks	19	I	I	I	I	I	I	l	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	19	I
9	Institutions	1				813						I	Ι	I		-	813	I
8	Retail exposures	Ι		ļ						8		I					8	8
6	Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property	l		l			162	l			5	l			l	Ι	167	167
10	Exposures in default	Ι		ļ							15	I					15	15
15	Equity exposures	Ι		ļ							6	I					6	6
17	TOTAL	10,289	Ι			813	162	I		8	29	I	Ι	Ι		-	11,301	199

Table 24 UK CR5 – standardised approach

11.Disclosure of the use of the IRB Approach to credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)

11.1. Table UK CRE - Qualitative disclosure requirements related to IRB approach

11.1.1. The PRA's permission of the IRB approach

The Society uses the Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach for most of its retail credit risk capital management following approval from the PRA in 2008. The PRA approved the Society's current implementation of new IRB models in 2020, and since then development has been undertaken to address further regulatory guidance and requirements including SS11/13 - Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approaches (last updated in October 2021), which culminated in an updated IRB model set being submitted in May 2023. As at 31 December 2024 the PRA had not yet provided approval on the model submission, and therefore a post model adjustment to RWAs is being held to reflect the difference (increase) between the RWAs generated by the incumbent models set and the submitted models.

IRB models are used to calculate capital requirements for prime owner-occupier and buy to let mortgage exposures which account for over 99% of lending exposures.

For the remaining retail credit risk exposures on legacy closed products, the Society uses the standardised approach. The standardised approach uses capital risk weighting percentages set by CRD IV to calculate capital requirements. No new lending has been originated on these products since the credit crisis however a drawdown facility is available for a small element of existing equity release customers.

The Society uses the following three internal rating models:

- Probability of Default model;
- Loss Given Default model; and
- Exposure at Default model.

Probability of Default model

In this model, credit scores are used to allocate exposures to risk grades. Once allocated to a risk grade, the probability of default (PD) model provides a "long-run" estimate of the PD for the grade i.e. the average PD across an economic cycle. It is this PD that is used in the capital calculation. There are separate scorecards for the buy-to-let and owner-occupier portfolios.

The credit scores of new applications generated by the application scorecards are determined using a combination of loan data, borrower credit details, and, in the case of the buy-to-let model, information about the rental property.

Behavioural scores are calculated using a combination of internal mortgage performance data together with regular updates of the borrower's credit behaviour with other lenders.

Depending on the length of time the account has been on the books, the application credit score, behavioural credit score, or a blend of the two is used to determine the risk grade for the account and therefore the long-run PD to be used in the capital calculation.

The PD model produces "hybrid" PDs that are a combination of point-in-time and through-the-cycle estimates of the probability of default for each risk grade.

Loss given default model

The loss given default (LGD) model uses internal data and is calibrated to downturn economic conditions for use in the regulatory capital calculations.

The model assesses the likelihood of repossession once an account defaults, the forced sale discount that is likely to be experienced in selling a property from possession (the 'haircut') and, if repossessed, the likelihood and amount of loss.

As with the update to the PD model that was submitted in 2023 in response to new regulatory requirements, the updated LGD model suite has also been submitted. Included within the LGD calculation of the new models is a new Loss Given Cure calculation which has been introduced with the latest regulatory update.

Exposure at default (EAD model)

The exposure at default (EAD) model calculates the balance of accounts at the point of default using a combination of estimated time to default and the interest payments that will be missed. Consistent with the PD and LGD models, an amended EAD model was submitted in 2023. Any additional RWAs emanating from the updated EAD model are also captured within the RWA PMA being held at 31 December 2024.

The combination of PD, LGD and EAD models is used to determine the expected loss and capital requirement for all mortgages within the retail IRB exposure class.

11.1.2. Rating systems and model development

(i) The relationship between the risk management function and the internal audit function

The risk management function comprises first and second line departments operating within the Society's three Lines of Defence model, whereby first line undertakes model development and change activities and the second line independently challenges and reviews those changes and developments. The internal audit function, as the third line of defence, then considers whether the second line has appropriately discharged its duties regarding independent review and challenge. The risk management function reports into the Chief Risk Officer whilst the internal audit function reports to the Chief Internal Auditor.

(ii) The rating system review

Models within the rating system are subject to the governance requirements that are set out within the Board Risk Committee approved Model Risk Framework. New model developments and model changes must be reviewed by the independent second line function and approved for use by the governance committee (the Model Risk Committee) that is charged with overseeing model risk management at the Society. On an annual basis the models also undergo a first line annual review that considers ongoing model performance, adherence to evolving regulation, documentation requirements, consideration of previous independent review findings, and fitness-for-purpose. The second line function provide independent oversight of the first line reviews, before the models are presented to Model Risk Committee for re-approval.

(iii) Procedure to ensure the independence of the function in charge of reviewing the models from the functions responsible for the development of the models

Whilst both the model development and model review teams report to the Chief Risk Officer, they are led by separate function leaders. The independent model review team also documents its challenges and questioning of model developments. The independence is also demonstrated by the model development and validation teams separately providing reports and reviews to the Model Risk Committee. The Chief Risk Officer is responsible for ensuring that the two teams operate independently of each other.

(iv) The procedure to ensure the accountability of the functions in charge of developing and reviewing the models

Accountability of the functions in charge of developing and reviewing models rests with different Heads of Departments who separately report to the Chief Risk Officer. The CRO holds overall responsibility for ensuring that accountabilities of the two areas are appropriately discharged.

11.1.3. The development, approval and changes of the credit risk models

The Chief Financial Officer holds Executive responsibility for the management of model risk, whilst oversight is provided by the Chief Risk Officer. Model risk is governed through Model Risk Committee (MRC), chaired by the

CFO and includes in its membership the CRO and senior managers from the Retail Credit Risk, Risk Measurement, Financial Control, and Financial and Model Risk functions, with Internal Audit also in attendance.

A key aspect when using internal rating models is establishing robust control and review mechanisms to effectively monitor and validate the models.

Model developments, model changes, and ongoing performance monitoring are undertaken in the first line by the Risk Measurement function, who is responsible for the methodology applied and the models themselves.

The second line risk function, Financial and Model Risk (F&M), independently reviews this work, and both first line model development and change activity and the second line opinion is presented to MRC for discussion and approval.

MRC's responsibilities in relation to IRB models include:

- Agreeing the scope and design of the models, including key assumptions and judgements;
- reviewing progress updates during model development;
- considering the results of independent second line model validation and confirming that the models are fit for purpose;
- reviewing ongoing model performance monitoring reports, to ensure that the models are operating as designed. If model performance deteriorates beyond expectation, a review of the model may be triggered which could result in a recalibration or redevelopment; and
- approving the submission of any new IRB models and material changes to existing models to the regulator.

As part of its third line responsibilities, Internal Audit undertakes an annual review of the effectiveness of the controls governing the use of IRB models.

The Chief Risk Officer also attests on an annual basis to compliance with IRB regulatory requirements.

The Society has a Board approved policy on model risk which sets out the minimum standards to be applied to mitigate risk. These standards are supported by controls and model requirements within a comprehensive Model Risk Framework which is reviewed by BRC annually and is designed to conform to the regulatory expectations for model risk management practices. Within this, the Society has a comprehensive model governance framework which sets out policies and statements that govern all models including the IRB models throughout their life cycle.

Techniques employed to manage model risk include:

- Independent model validation;
- governance around model assumptions and data;
- model overview statements which identify conditions when the models may fail;
- requirements on model development and documentation; and
- sensitivity analysis of key assumptions.

The Society categorises its models and complex calculators dependent on their materiality and complexity and the framework operates by way of increasing controls on more material and more complex models.

Model risk outlook

The PRA supervisory statement SS1/23 'Model risk management principles for banks' came into effect in May 2024. It sets out the PRA's expectations in relation to banks' and building societies' model risk management practices. Whilst many of the expectations align to the Society's existing practices, we have undertaken a self-assessment of our model risk management practices against the supervisory statement, and are undertaking work to enhance the Society's model risk management practices where relevant.

The Society submitted updated IRB models to the PRA for approval in 2023, and continues to await feedback. The models calculate regulatory capital requirements for credit risk. In the interim, adjustments are being made to existing model outputs such that they reflect the outputs of the updated models.

11.1.4. The internal ratings process by exposure class

(i) the definitions, methods and data for estimation and validation of PD, which shall include information on how PDs are estimated for low default portfolios, whether there are regulatory floors and the drivers for differences observed between PD and actual default rates at least for the last three periods

In accordance with regulatory requirements, the Society operates a hybrid PD model that is built on a combination of observed default rates and backcasted default rates that encompasses the downturn of the early 1990s. Observed default rates are available back to 2006 and, for periods earlier than that, the UK Finance arrears data series is used as an estimator for what the Society's default rates would have been, using various statistical techniques to capture the likely shape of the Society's backcasted default rate curve together with an appropriate margin of conservatism built into account for lack of historic internal data pre-2006.

The definition of default meets the requirements in the applicable regulatory guidance, with default being triggered once an account goes 3+ months in arrears or if a defined set of unlikeliness to pay indicators are met (these include certain forbearance measures such as the granting of concessionary terms or the distressed transfer to interest only terms). In addition, a "cure" period of 12 months is applied to ensure that a customer, once defaulted, demonstrates continued ability to service the loan before the account is returned to a non-defaulted status.

Any applicable regulatory floors are captured in the applied PD rates, where the modelled rate is above the floor.

The Society has sufficient history of defaults to not have to resort to using Low Default Portfolio techniques.

The hybrid PD model, being built using a combination of through-the-cycle average default rates and observed point-in-time default rates, has been consistently producing PDs for application in the regulatory capital calculation that are significantly above current observed default rates. This is to be expected given the current point in the economic cycle.

(ii) where applicable, the definitions, methods and data for estimation and validation of LGD, such as methods to calculate downturn LGD, how LGDs are estimated for low default portfolio and the time lapse between the default event and the closure of the exposure

The LGD models are calibrated to the experience of the last economic downturn, with model parameters such as in the Probability of Possession Given Default model then being uplifted to meet the regulatory guidance that LGD models must be downturn models that reflect a minimum level of house price fall that is in excess of that seen in the downturn. Various statistical techniques are used to generate the uplifts required to reflect the worse-than-actual downturn experience required, together with an appropriate margin of conservatism.

The Society has sufficient history of repossessions and losses to not have to resort to using Low Default Portfolio techniques.

The Society defines the period of the downturn that is being used to calibrate the models against but maintains an extended period post-downturn to ensure that sufficient time is available for workouts such that statistically robust models are built on observed resolution of post-default events (e.g. repossession or cure).

(iii) where applicable, the definitions, methods and data for estimation and validation of credit conversion factors, including assumptions employed in the derivation of those variables

The Society currently assumes a 100% credit conversion factor, which is applied to all applications where the Society has made an offer to advance a mortgage, as once a mortgage offer is made, the Society will not unilaterally withdraw the offer.

IRB model performance over time

The monitoring of IRB models is undertaken on a detailed and regular basis to provide assurance that the performance of the models remains within satisfactory bounds and that the resulting credit risk RWA calculation is accurate. A variety of techniques are applied including statistical measures of performance (e.g. Gini to assess scorecard discriminatory power, default rate accuracy measures, and population stability indices to track changes to distributions), and judgement is also exercised where appropriate (e.g. to exclude certain outliers that arise due to individual circumstances that could not have been modelled.

Monitoring is undertaken of both the currently approved (incumbent) IRB model suite and the new hybrid models that were submitted in response to the PRA's Policy Statement PS16/21 which came into force on 1 January 2022. The hybrid models are used to calculate RWAs that are applied in determining the credit risk capital requirements via a post-model adjustment to the incumbent models whilst we await feedback from the PRA of the Society's hybrid model submission.

11.1.5. Reporting related to credit risk models

At each Board Risk Committee meeting, the Committee considered a consolidated risk report from the Society's Chief Risk Officer (CRO). In relation to credit risk models, the Committee reviewed the Model Risk Framework and Policy and recommended these to the Board Risk Committee for approval.

Treatment of undrawn exposures

At any point, the Society has a number of undrawn exposures that it assigns ratings to using the IRB rating system. These undrawn exposures relate to mortgage applications that have reached the 'offer' stage, where the Society has agreed to advance the funds, but completion of the mortgage has not yet taken place. An offer will generally only be cancelled if adverse information is received after the offer has been made or if it has not been taken up by the customer and hence expires. To assess credit risk, it is assumed that all offers will complete, and therefore a conservative conversion factor of 100% is assigned to these undrawn exposures.

11.2. Template UK CR6 – IRB approach – Credit risk exposures by exposure class and PD range

٩	
Ъg	5
) rang	
	I
4	
D C	
lass ai	
SS	
-0	
by exposure cl	1
sure	
So	1
expo	
a	
2	
h is applied l	
Q	
ap	
<u>.v</u>	!
<u>_</u>	
ac	
roa	
2	
res to which the IRB at	
RB	
<u>ш</u>	
_e	
Ŧ	
ۍ	
Ē	
3	
<u>p</u>	
ures to which	
res	
0SL	
g	
es the credit risk exposure	
-is	
.±	
ed	1
5	
ď	
÷	
ŝ	
S	
- e	
an	
2	
6	
e e	
0	
ĥ	
tal	
ġ.	
f	

	CCF CRM	exposures average pre-CCF CCF	exposures
fm %	fm		E
-	llateral		Exposure class Retail – non-SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral
27,742 0.1%	47		906
5,809 0.1%	23		449
21,933 0.1%	24		457
65 0.2%	Ι		I
19,976 0.3%	36		688
27 0.7%	I		I
2,871 1.4%	11		204
2,844 1.4%	11		204
27 2.0%			I
1,560 4.6%	6		113
1,133 3.7%	5		92
427 7.0%	1		21
526 39.1%	I		4
208 14.8%			4
2 25.7%			
316 55.2%			I
331 100.0%	1		1
53,098 —	100		1,915
53,098	100		1 915

Table 25 UK CR6 – IRB approach – Credit risk exposures by exposure class and PD range

11.3. Template UK CR7 – IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques

The template below provides details of the pre-credit derivatives risk weighted exposure amounts and actual risk weighted exposure amounts under the foundation IRB and advanced IRB valuation models.

		Pre-credit derivatives risk weighted exposure amount	Actual risk weighted exposure amount
		£m	£m
5	Exposures under AIRB	7,833	7,833
9	Retail	7,833	7,833
9.2	of which Retail – non-SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral	7,833	7,833
10	TOTAL (including FIRB exposures and AIRB exposures)	7,833	7,833

Table 26 UK CR7 – IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques

11.4. Template UK CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosure of the extent of the use of CRM techniques

The Society secures its mortgage loans by collateral but applies no other credit risk mitigation techniques.

Credit risk Mitigation methods in the calculation of RWEAs		RWEA with substitution effects (both reduction and substitution effects)	m fm	- 7,833	- 7,833	- 7,833
Credit methods o		RWEA without substituti on effects (reductio n effects only)	£m			
	Unfunded credit Protection (UFCP)	Part of exposures covered by Credit Derivatives	%		I	
	Unfund Protectic	Part of exposures covered by Guarantees	%		I	
		Part of Part of exposures covered by Instrumen ts held by a third party	%	I	I	I
		Part of Part of exposur es by Life insuran ce policies	%		I	
echniques		Part of exposur es covered by Cash on deposit	%	I	I	
Credit risk Mitigation techniques	4 (d	Part of exposures covered by Other funded credit protection	%	Ι	I	I
Credit r	Funded credit Protection (FCP)	Part of Part of exposures covered by Other physical collateral	%	1	I	I
		Part of exposur es by Receiva bles	%	I	I	I
		Part of exposures covered by Immovabl e property Collateral s	%	231.8%	231.8%	231.8%
		Part of exposur es covered by Other eligible collater als	%	231.8%	231.8%	231.8%
		Part of exposures covered by Financial Collaterals	%	Ι	I	I
	exposures		£m	53,659	53,659	53.659
		A-IRB		Retail	Of which Retail – Immovable property non-SMEs	Total
				4	4.2	۰.

Table 27 UK CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosure of the extent of the use of CRM techniques

11.5. RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach

The table below summarises the movements of RWEAs for credit risk exposures under the Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach. Following guidance from the PRA this flow statement includes the post model adjustment applied to both our loss given default and probability of default models.

		Risk weighted exposure amount
		£m
1	Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the previous reporting period	7,655
2	Asset size (+/-)	241
3	Asset quality (+/-)	(36)
8	Other (+/-)	(27)
9	Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the reporting period	7,833

Table 28 UK CR8 – RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach

11.6. Template UK CR9.1 – IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates according to point (f) of Article 180(1) CRR)

This template is not applicable to the Society.

The table below analyses the back-testing of probability of default per exposure class based on a fixed PD scale for those exposures under the IRB valuation approach.

		Number of ob of prev	ligors at the end vious year		_		Average
Exposure class	PD range		Of which number of obligors which defaulted in the year	Observed average default rate (%)	Exposures weighted average PD (%)	Average PD (%)	historical annual default rate (%)
				%	%	%	%
	0.00 to <0.15	172,267	122	-%	—%	—%	—%
	0.00 to <0.10	40,712	11	_	—%	—%	—
	0.10 to <0.15	131,555	111	—%	—%	—%	—%
	0.15 to <0.25	1,065	_	_	—%	—%	—%
	0.25 to <0.50	109,356	242	—%	—%	—%	—%
	0.50 to <0.75	417	_	_	—%	—%	—%
	0.75 to <2.50	14,978	119	—%	—%	—%	—%
	0.75 to <1.75	14,639	116	—%	—%	—%	—%
	1.75 to <2.5	339	3	—%	—%	—%	—%
	2.50 to <10.00	7,665	166	—%	—%	—%	—%
	2.5 to <5	5,550	100	—%	—%	—%	—%
	5 to <10	2,115	66	—%	0.1%	0.1%	—%
	10.00 to <100.00	2,905	505	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%
	10 to <20	1,055	59	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
	20 to <30	10	1	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
	30.00 to <100.00	1,840	445	0.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%
	100.00 (Default)	1,808			1.0%	1.0%	

Table 29 UK CR9 – IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (fixed PD scale)

12.Disclosure of specialised lending and equity exposures under the simple risk weight approach

The Society does not offer specialised lending products.

13. Disclosure of counterparty credit risk

13.1. Table UK CCRA – Qualitative disclosure related to CCR

13.1.1. Methodology used to assign internal capital and credit limits for counterparty credit exposures

The Society uses standardised risk weightings to assign capital to its counterparty credit exposures. Unless specified otherwise by the regulations, risk weights are applied with regard to the credit rating of the counterparty.

Credit limits are set using a framework that incorporates risk metrics and qualitative factors to determine the Society's maximum credit exposure to each counterparty. These factors include external ratings, type of entity, their relationship with the Society and size of the entity. This framework is reviewed annually with daily monitoring of the counterparty exposures and limits.

13.1.2. Policies related to guarantees and other credit risk mitigants

The Society enters into derivative transactions for risk management purposes. It undertakes sale and repurchase (repo) transactions to manage liquidity and raise longer-term funding, where highly rated assets such as gilts are sold with an agreement to repurchase at an agreed price at a later date. Counterparty credit risk includes the risk of default by the derivative counterparty and the risk that cash received in a repo transaction is less than the market value of the asset.

The Society manages this risk by undertaking credit assessments of all counterparties and by exchanging collateral to mitigate any exposure. Daily collateralisation of repo transactions is carried out in accordance with the Global Master Repurchase Agreements to mitigate net exposure arising from changes in market value. Similarly, all derivatives have Credit Support Annexes (CSAs) in place to collateralise the net mark-to-market credit exposures.

The Society has entered into International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) master netting agreements for all of its derivatives (other than swaps undertaken by Coventry Building Society Covered Bonds LLP and Coventry Godiva Covered Bonds LLP). These allow the Society to settle exposures 'net' in the event of a default or other predetermined event.

The Society is subject to mandatory central clearing of derivatives through a third-party regulated central clearing counterparty to reduce systemic and operating risk. Under this, collateral is exchanged on a daily basis. The Society may still enter into swaps that are not currently cleared by any of the central clearing houses, e.g. cross currency swaps; these are all subject to daily exchange of collateral to better manage counterparty risk.

The Society's covered bond programmes (Coventry Building Society Covered Bonds LLP and Coventry Godiva Covered Bonds LLP) and Economic Master Issuer plc enter into swaps under separate ISDA agreements. Each agreement includes a CSA which provides for collateralisation of the swap exposure. The derivative exposures can only be settled net following a default or other predetermined event, and therefore exposures are presented gross on the balance sheet. The Society has £nil net derivative credit exposure (2023: £10.3 million, of which £10.0 million relates to A1 rated institutions and £0.3 million relates to Aa3 rated institutions).

13.1.3. Policies with respect to Wrong-Way risk

Wrong-way risk relates to the possibility that exposures to a counterparty increase as the credit quality of that counterparty deteriorates. This risk is commonly mitigated by Eligible Collateral Schedules across its derivative contracts that prohibit the use of debt securities by the Society or its counterparties in meeting its margin calls.

Where the Society engages in repo agreements using its own debt securities, specific terms are in place to manage the deterioration in value of these securities or in the downgrade of the Society.

13.1.4. Collateral provided as a result of a credit rating downgrade

As at 31 December 2024, the Society would have to provide a £213.7m (31 December 2023: £487.6m) of collateral if it was given a one notch downgrade in its credit rating. The large reduction is driven by the change in asset swap structure on GCB so instead of 1 swap with a £5.4bn notional, we now have 7 swaps with a combined notional of £4bn. Part of the reason for the change was to reduce the amount of contingent liquidity required to be held.

13.2. Template UK CCR1 – Analysis of CCR exposure by approach

The table below provides details of the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts for Counterparty Credit Risk by approach used.

		Replacem ent cost (RC)	Potential future exposure (PFE)	EEPE	Alpha used for computing regulatory exposure value	Exposure value pre- CRM	Exposure value post-CRM	Exposure value	RWEA
		£m	£m	£m		£m	£m	£m	£m
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives)	36	22		1.4	84	83	83	40
4	Financial collateral comprehensive method (for SFTs)					1,966	97	97	31
6	Total					2,050	180	180	71



13.3. Template UK CCR2 – Transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk

Credit Valuation Adjustments (CVAs) reflect the adjustment of default risk-free prices of derivatives and securities financing transactions (SFTs) due to a potential default of the counterparty. The Society uses the standardised method to calculate CVA risk weighted exposure amounts.

		Exposure value	RWEA
		£m	£m
4	Transactions subject to the Standardised method	83	55
5	Total transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk	83	55

Table 31 UK CCR2 - Transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk

13.4. Template UK CCR3 – Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights

The table below provides counterparty credit risk exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights based on standardised valuation approach.

						Ri	sk weigl	nt					Tatal
	Exposure classes	0%	2%	4%	10%	20%	50%	70%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total exposure value
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
6	Institutions	_	_	63	_	64	116	_	_	_	_	_	243
11	Total exposure value	_	_	63	_	64	116	_	_	_	_	_	243

Table 32 UK CCR3 – Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights

13.5. Template UK CCR5 – Composition of collateral for CCR exposures

The table below provides details of types of collateral received and posted within the derivative and securities financing transactions (SFTs) exposure calculation.

		Colla	ateral used in de	rivatives transac	tions	Collateral use financing trans	
			of collateral ived	Fair value of co	ollateral posted	Fair value of collateral	Fair value of collateral
		Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated	received	posted
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Cash	_	860	303	13	3,075	13
2	Debt	_	_	51	_	-	—
5	Total	_	860	354	13	3,075	13

Table 33 UK CCR5 – Composition of collateral for CCR exposures

13.6. Template UK CCR8 – Exposures to CCPs

The Society has some exposures to Central Counterparty Clearing Houses (CCPs). All the exposures are with Qualifying Central Counterparty Clearing Houses (QCCPs). The value and risk weighted value of those exposures are shown below.

		Exposure value	RWEA
		£m	£m
1	Exposures to QCCPs (total)		3
2	Exposures for trades at QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	63	3
3	(i) OTC derivatives	63	3
7	Segregated initial margin	354	

Table 34 UK CCR8 – Exposures to CCPs

14. Disclosure on market risk

14.1. Table UK MRA: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to market risk

14.1.1. Strategies and processes to manage market risk

Market risk is the risk of a reduction in earnings and/or value resulting from adverse movements in financial markets. Market risk arises in the banking book as the Society does not hold a trading book. Interest rate risk in the banking book includes reprice, option and basis risk. The Society is also subject to credit spread risk in the banking book and foreign currency risk. The main source of market risk to which the Society is exposed to is reprice risk, which is the risk that interest rates change, and its assets and liabilities reprice on different dates, resulting in a negative impact. The Society manages reprice risk by limiting the exposure using both economic value and earnings sensitivity measures.

14.1.2. Market risk management

The Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer are responsible for managing and monitoring current and emerging market risks. This is overseen by the Market Risk Committee, Asset and Liabilities Committee (ALCo), Board Risk Committee (BRC) and the Board.

14.1.3. Market risk reporting and measurement systems

Market risk is managed by specifying risk tolerances and operating to these limits, using derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, or matching offsetting assets and liabilities. The Society maintains adequate margin capacity through administered rates and invests its reserves and some of the low interest savings account balances in fixed rate assets to reduce income volatility.

14.2. Table UK MRB: Qualitative disclosure requirements for institutions using the internal Market Risk Models

Not applicable since the Society has no trading book.

15. Disclosure of exposures to securitisation positions

15.1. Table UK-SECA - Qualitative disclosure requirements related to securitisation exposures

The Society has securitisation exposures both as an originator of residential mortgages and an investor in traditional STS securitisation vehicles as shown in the tables below.

15.1.1. Description of securitisation and re-securitisation activities

The Group has securitised certain mortgage loans by transferring the loans to structured entities controlled by the Group. These securitisations enable the issuance of debt to investors, who take security in the underlying assets as collateral. The structured entities are fully consolidated into the Group accounts and the Society has full control over the structured entities. Transfers of mortgage loans to the structured entities are not treated as sales and the loans are not derecognised but remain on the Society's Balance Sheet as the Society retains substantially all the risks and rewards of the mortgage loans. The proceeds received from the transfer of mortgage loans to structured entities are accounted for as a deemed loan from the structured entities and are disclosed as a form of funding.

The Group has also issued debt to be used as collateral for central government schemes or for use in sale and repurchase agreements (repos) and similar transactions. Some or all of the debt issuances may be retained by the Society.

15.1.2. The type of risk the Society is exposed to in its securitisation and re-securitisation activities

To manage interest rate risk, the Society enters into derivative transactions with its structured entities, receiving a rate of interest based on the securitised mortgages and paying a rate inherent in the debt issuances. In accordance with IFRS 9, these internal derivatives are treated as part of the deemed loan and not separately measured at fair value because the relevant mortgage loans are not derecognised. All other derivatives relating to securitisations and covered bonds are explained in the Society's derivatives and hedge accounting policy.

15.1.3. Securitisation risk-weighted exposure amounts

There are no specific capital requirements for the securitisation vehicles. As there has not been a transfer of significant credit risk, and as loans are not derecognised and remain on the Society's balance sheet, the Society does not calculate risk weighted asset amounts for any positions it holds in its securitisations. Instead, risk weighted asset calculations are performed on the underlying mortgage assets remaining on the Society's balance sheet.

Purchased Securitisation exposures are risk weighted using the external ratings-based approach (ERBA) as per CRR article 264 derived from the revised securitisation framework fully in-force from 1 January 2020. All other credit exposures are risk weighted based on the standardised approach.

15.2. Template UK-SEC1 - Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book

The table below details the Society's securitisation exposures in the non-trading book.

				Instituti	Institution acts as originator	iginator			=	nstitution a	Institution acts as sponsor	or	lns	Institution acts as investor	s as investo	
			Traditional	ional		Synt	Synthetic	Sub-total	Tradit	Traditional		Sub-total	Traditional	ional		Sub-total
		STS	10	Non	Non-STS		of which				Synthetic				Synthetic	
			of which SRT		of which SRT		SRT		STS	Non-STS			STS	Non-STS		
		£m	£m	шŦ	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Η	1 Total exposures	2,496	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	2,496	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι
2	Retail (total)	2,496	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	2,496	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	I	I
ŝ	residential mortgage	2,496	I		1	1	1	2,496	Ι	Ι	Ι	1	Ι	I	I	Ι

Table 35 UK-SEC1 - Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book

15.3. Template UK-SEC5 - Exposures securitised by the institution - Exposures in default and specific credit risk adjustments

			ed by the institution iginator or as spons	
		Total outstanding	nominal amount	Total amount of
			Of which exposures in default	specific credit risk adjustments made during the period
		£m	£m	£m
1	Total exposures	2,496	6	-
2	Retail (total)	2,496	6	—
3	residential mortgage	2,496	6	_

Table 37 UK-SEC5 - Exposures securitised by the institution - Exposures in default and specific credit risk adjustments

16. Disclosure of operational risk

16.1. Table UK ORA - Qualitative information on operational risk

16.1.1. Operational risk management

Operational Risk Definition

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. The Society manages operational risks with the objectives of protecting members' interests and keeping the Society safe and secure.

Operational Risk Management Framework

The Society has an Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF) which provides explanations on how the component parts of the framework come together to enable the Society to identify and effectively manage all of its risks.

The Society's operational risk management policies and procedures support the overarching principles defined in the ERMF, ensuring there is a consistent approach to the identification, measurement and management of operational risk across the Society. The policies and procedures are subject to continuous improvement to ensure they remain fit for purpose and reflect the internal and external environment.

Operational risk is managed, reported and controlled across a number of sub-categories which is consistent with the Basel risk classifications, industry best practice and the Society's business model. Technology and Data have been elevated to the level of Principal Risks to enable greater oversight and attention on these areas.

The operational risk profile is informed by Risk and Control Self-Assessments (RCSAs). RCSA owners are required to: identify and assess the potential impacts of risks on an inherent and residual basis; test the effectiveness of controls; and develop and implement action plans to address control weaknesses. For risks that are rated Amber or Red, RCSA owners must either take remedial actions to reduce the risks or accept the risk where remedial action is either not possible or appropriate due to practical or cost considerations.

The Society monitors and reports its operational risk events to ensure control gaps are identified and remediated to reduce re-occurrence. Outputs from the risk event reporting and analysis help the Society identify and assess current and future risks.

Operational Risk Management and Governance

The Society adopts the three lines of defence framework to manage operational risks:

- 1. Under the first line of defence, operational management has responsibility for owning, assessing, managing and mitigating risks.
- 2. The second line of defence provides advice, independent oversight and challenge to the management of those risks.
- 3. The third line provides independent assurance.

Operational, Technology, and Data Risk Committees provide primary oversight of relevant operational risk categories, with further oversight provided by BRC and the Board. Operational risk category owners are responsible for ensuring that a comprehensive pack of management information has been developed, established, monitored, and reported to the relevant risk committee on a monthly basis.

The Financial Services sector faces multiple risk management challenges in the current climate, particularly in relation to technology, cyber threats, data, statutory and regulatory reporting, people and financial crime. The Society continues to review and improve its control environment to mitigate these risks. The focus on operational resilience has also provided the Society with an opportunity to enhance its ability to prevent, respond, recover, and learn from operational disruption.

Risk measurements

The Society adopts the standardised approach for the purpose of calculating its Pillar 1 capital requirement for operational risk. The calculation uses net interest income averaged over a three-year period. The Society's capital requirement for operational risk as at 31 December 2024 was £83.7 million (2023: £75.7 million) an increase of £8 million to prior year.

The Society also undertakes the Pillar 2A assessment, utilising historic internal loss event data and the outputs from scenario analysis. The outputs of the assessment are used to determine how much capital the Society should hold for operational risk.

16.1.2. The assessment of minimum own funds requirements

The Society has adopted the Standardised approach to the assessment of minimum own funds requirements.

16.2. Template UK OR1 - Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts

The table below details the Society's operational risk' own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts.

		Relevant indicator			Own funds	Risk weighted
Banking	g activities	Year-3	Year-2	Last year	requirements	exposure amount
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
2	Banking activities subject to standardised (TSA) / alternative standardised (ASA) approaches	656	762	674	84	1,046
3	<u>Subject to TSA:</u>	656	762	674		

Table 38 UK OR1 - Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts

17. Disclosure of remuneration policy

17.1. Table UK REMA - Remuneration policy

17.1.1. The Remuneration Committee and Non-Executive Directors' Remuneration Committee

These disclosures are made in accordance with Article 450 of the CRR for the performance year ending 31 December 2024 and should be read in conjunction with the Directors Remuneration Report set out in the 2024 Coventry Building Society Annual Report and Accounts.

This section provides details of the remuneration of the Society's employees, including Material Risk Takers ("MRTs") for 2024 together with an explanation of the Society's remuneration policies, practices and governance.

MRTs are those individuals whose professional activities have a material impact on the Society's risk profile, based on, but not limited to, the qualitative and quantitative criteria set by the European Banking Authority (EBA) under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 604/2014. We identify MRTs by reviewing their responsibilities within their role, applying the EBA Regulatory Technical Standards for the definition of MRTs for remuneration purposes and assessing the materiality of the impact a role would have on the risk profile of the Society.

During the year there were a total of 55 MRTs (2023: 48). Those identified as MRTs include, but are not limited to:

- Executive and non-executive directors of the Society and Senior Management (being the other members of the Executive Leadership Team, for the purposes of these disclosures);
- Other staff with key functional or managerial responsibility including senior managers of control functions such as audit and risk; and

Other risk takers, whose professional activities could have a material impact on the Society's risk profile. The table below provides a breakdown of the number of individuals identified as MRTs by business area.

The Remuneration Committee consists exclusively of independent Non-Executive Directors and the Chair of the Board.

The members of the Committee are:

Current membership	Member since
Jo Kenrick ¹⁰	2017
David Thorburn	2022
Shamira Mohammed	2023
Brendan O'Connor	2021

Table 39 Remuneration committee members

The Committee seeks input from the Chief People Officer, the Head of Reward and People Services and the Chief Executive, who are invited to attend meetings. The Chief Risk Officer and the General Counsel are invitees where appropriate. The Committee also benefits from specialist advice from its independent remuneration advisor (Deloitte LLP).

Governance and the role of the Remuneration Committee

The Committee met seven times in 2024.

The Committee has overall responsibility for remuneration matters delegated to it by the Board. The Committee's role is to consider the Society's Remuneration Policy and the specific remuneration packages for the Society Chair, executive directors and executive managers and any other employees who are deemed to fall

¹⁰ Chair of the Committee from April 2018 to 31 December 2024.

within the scope of the PRA/FCA Remuneration Codes. The Committee also provides oversight of the pay practices for the workforce across the Society.

All decisions about remuneration reflect the Society's purpose, values and 'Putting Members First'. Decisions align with the approach taken for all employees and take account of the long-term sustainable success of the Society.

The Society follows the PRA's Code on Remuneration Practices and Disclosure Requirements, and in addition, aligns the Policy with the PRA's best practice guidelines and the UK Corporate Governance Code where applicable. These include ensuring clarity and transparency of policy and arrangements. The Committee has also considered and mitigated any risks to ensure the Society doesn't incentivise the wrong type of behaviour.

The Committee's work has involved ensuring predictability in the remuneration structure; considering proportionate variable pay awards that reward good performance and are not excessive and aligning policy and practice to the Society's purpose, values, and belief. The Committee considers that the Remuneration Policy has operated as intended in terms of the performance of the Society and the quantum of awards.

Non-Executive Directors' Remuneration (NEDR) Committee

The members of the NEDR Committee are:

Current membership	Member since
Stephen Hughes ¹¹	2020
Lee Raybould	2021
David Thorburn	2022

The NEDR Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending the remuneration of the non-executive directors, other than for the Chair of the Board, for the Board's approval.

Non-executive directors are appointed for an initial term of three years, which can be terminated by the director, or at the discretion of the Board with a notice period of three months. Non-executive directors must voluntarily stand for re-election each year.

The approach for non-executive directors' fees is in line with the objectives of the Remuneration Policy for the whole Society, which is to offer fees that are competitive when compared with similar financial services firms of a similar size and complexity. The time commitment for the role at the Society is also taken into account.

The NEDR Committee recommends the remuneration of the non-executive directors, other than the Chair of the Board, to the Board for approval. Recommendations for the remuneration of the Chair of the Board are made by the Remuneration Committee and approved by the full Board without the participation of the Chair. No director takes part in the discussion of their own remuneration.

17.1.2. The remuneration system for identified staff

The Society's Remuneration Policy is designed to reward all employees for their skills, knowledge, responsibilities, and performance. When making any decisions about pay and benefits the Society must strike a balance between the needs of employees, the needs of members to ensure cost efficiency and the requirements of its regulators. The Society's ultimate objective is to offer a remuneration package (pay, benefits and non-financial rewards) that is competitive when compared with similar financial services organisations and that is also fair and appropriate for the size and type of organisation we are. The principles of the Society's Remuneration Policy apply to all employees, including MRT's across the whole Society. All fixed and variable remuneration (with the exception of benefits in kind such as cars, where applicable) are paid through the payroll.

The Society's Remuneration Policy is consistent with the Society's risk appetite and is designed to support the overall financial stability of the Society and its strategic priorities, by promoting sound and effective risk management and not encouraging excessive risk taking. Salary levels for employees are reviewed annually, taking into account a number of factors including the scope and size of role; the skills, experience and responsibility of the role holder; the position of the role holder's salary against wider marker rates of pay and

¹¹ Chair of the Committee.

their individual performance; equal pay principles, the society's financial performance and the economic environment.

Non-executive directors receive a base fee and an additional fee for chairing a committee and/or holding the position of Senior Independent Director or Deputy Chair of the Board. Reasonable expenses are reimbursed and paid in accordance with the Society's policy. These fees are the only element of remuneration and are set at a level that reflects the market and is sufficient to attract individuals with appropriate knowledge and experience to support the Society in achieving its strategic objectives. Fees are reviewed annually, taking into account market data, annual pay increases awarded to employees, the economic environment and the Society's performance.

The Society provides a competitive benefits package to all its employees to support their physical, mental, and financial wellbeing. MRT's receive benefits that are in line with the external market. These include a company car or cash alternative, private medical insurance, health screening, permanent health insurance and life insurance. All employees receive permanent health insurance and life insurance and many also receive private medical insurance. MRT's do not receive any benefits that are unavailable to other senior managers within the Society.

The Society provides post-retirement financial security for all its employees at a cost that is sustainable for the Society over the long term and in line with market practice. All employees are eligible to join the Society's defined contribution pension scheme, receiving contributions of up to 10%.

"Control functions" are defined under regulations as Risk, Compliance, and Internal Audit functions. For control functions, remuneration is not determined within the business unit alone in order to avoid conflicts of interest. As part of the annual pay review, salaries of senior employees in control functions are reviewed against peers who carry out similar roles in other organisations. Variable pay is determined by the same balanced scorecard used for all employees.

Guaranteed variable remuneration and buy out awards are only awarded in exceptional circumstances and would always be limited to new hires in the first year of service.

Payments on termination of employment are made in accordance with any contractual or other statutory entitlements (e.g. redundancy) and are made in a way that does not reward failure or misconduct and reflect performance over time.

17.1.3. The criteria used for performance measurement and ex ante and ex post risk adjustment

The Society currently operates two variable pay plans: the annual Success Share bonus and the Executive Variable Pay Plan (ExVPP). The annual Success Share bonus applies to all Society employees. Awards are made in cash and for executives are subject to deferral and retention. The ExVPP is restricted to executives only. Awards are made in cash and are again subject to deferral and retention.

Performance metrics for all variable pay awards are set by the Committee and reflect the Society's strategic priorities, providing a clear link with members' interests and our short and long term goals, as well as our regulatory obligations.

Appropriately stretching performance targets are set each year taking into account a number of different reference points which may include the Society's business plans and strategy, and the market environment.

The Committee retains the discretion to adjust or set different performance measures or targets if the measures are no longer appropriate, such as in the case of a significant change in prevailing market conditions, and amendment is required so that the measures achieve their original purpose.

17.1.4. Description of the ways in which current and future risks are taken into account in the remuneration processes.

Our approach to risk adjustment at a Society level provides for a discretionary assessment of 'ex ante' and 'ex post' risk adjustment, based on performance against our risk appetite as set out in the Society Plan, and taking into account any risk events during the year from a conduct, reputational, financial or operational perspective. In reaching its determination of an appropriate level of risk adjustment, the Remuneration Committee considers a range of factors, including evidence from the Board Risk Committee.

This includes an assessment of both current and future risk issues provided by the Board Risk Committee, supported by the risk management and compliance functions and, for our most senior leaders, any conduct

issues on an individual basis provided by the Chief People Officer. Accordingly, the Committee has discretion to reduce performance pay in relation to risk-related or individual conduct related matters. For our most senior leaders variable pay is subject to risk adjustment through malus and clawback.

Malus applies to any payments under the annual Success Share bonus and ExVPP schemes. The Committee can decide to reduce or cancel any variable pay award before the payment has been made. This can include, but is not limited to, reasonable evidence of misbehaviour or material error by the recipient; the Society suffering a material downturn in its financial performance or a material failure of risk management.

Clawback applies to payments under the annual Success Share bonus and ExVPP variable pay schemes, for up to seven years even if an individual leaves the Society's employment. The Committee may decide that an individual must repay part or all of a variable pay award after the payment has been made. This can include, but is not limited to, reasonable evidence of misbehaviour or material error by the recipient; the Society's financial results, such that the payment made under the variable pay arrangement was greater than it would have been.

17.1.5. The ratios between fixed and variable remuneration set

The Society believes it is essential that variable pay is not excessive, and all variable awards operate within a pay ratio of 200% of fixed remuneration (base salary and benefits). The Society's variable pay awards in respect of the financial year are currently limited to a maximum total of 80% of base salary for executive directors, 50% for executive managers and 20% for all other employees.

When reviewing the annual Success Share bonus and the ExVPP, the Remuneration Committee considers the Society's performance against a balanced scorecard as set out above. The Committee considers target ranges taking into account plan targets, market forecasts, credit and risk limits and maintaining long-term sustainability. The nature of the balanced scorecard and inclusion of these factors ensures that outperformance cannot be achieved through excessive risk taking and considers member outcomes. Both Plans are reviewed each year and the Committee has absolute discretion to adjust or recover awards if necessary, including withholding vested awards under malus arrangements and recovering payments made under clawback arrangements.

17.1.6. The link between performance during a performance measurement period with levels of remuneration.

Variable pay is determined according to the Society's performance using a balanced scorecard, which reflects a number of strategic performance measures agreed by the Board. These include growth, capital strength, costs, profitability, project delivery, ESG measures, control of risk, customer experience and employee engagement. These are considered alongside individual and business unit performance and conduct, and any other factors or events the Committee considers to be relevant.

For recipients of the ExVPP the performance metrics are split 70:30 between collective performance as determined by the outturn of the balanced score card, and individual performance as determined by the achievement of up to three strategically aligned individual goals. The individual goals are used to increase personal accountability for the delivery of key strategic targets.

17.1.7. Adjustments to remuneration to take account of long-term performance.

MRTs are subject to regulations, which may affect the structure of their pay. At the Society, most MRTs are currently exempt from the PRA Remuneration Code's requirements and are termed as "MRT's below the proportionality threshold". MRT's are exempt from the Code's requirements if:

- variable remuneration is no more than 33% of total remuneration;
- total remuneration is no more than £500,000; and
- variable pay is less than £44,000.

MRTs who qualify for the Executive Variable Pay Plan (our Executive Directors and Executive Managers are subject to the Remuneration Code's remuneration requirements.

The following rules apply to Executive Directors who are termed as "Higher paid MRTs":

- deferral of 60% of any variable remuneration over a period of 7 years;
- retention of 50% of variable remuneration for a further 12 months after vesting and payment in the form of an equivalent share like instrument; and
- consideration as to whether there is a need to reduce (malus) and/or require repayment (clawback) of variable remuneration in certain circumstances.

Executives who fall below the "Higher paid MRT" rule are termed "non-higher paid MRT's" and are subject to deferral of 40% of variable remuneration for a further period of 5 years from date of assessment and are subject to the rules on retention (50% of variable remuneration for a further 12 months), malus and clawback.

17.1.8. The main parameters and rationale for variable components and any other non-cash benefit.

Any retained variable pay will be made as a cash payment but may be subject to adjustment during the retention period. The Remuneration Code requires that 50% of variable pay is made in shares or, in the case of a non-listed business like the Society, an equivalent share-like instrument.

The Society's share-like instrument is linked to capital performance, utilising the year-on-year change in Leverage Ratio (Excluding AT1) to calculate the upward or downward adjustment in order to emulate the change in share value of a listed firm. The plan is reviewed each year to ensure alignment to the strategic priorities and changes in regulation.

The Remuneration Committee monitors all awards made under our variable pay schemes to ensure adherence to regulatory requirements.

17.1.9. The remuneration of The Board

Details of the remuneration of our executive and non-executive directors can be found in the Directors Remuneration Report in the 2024 Annual Report & Accounts.

17.2. Template UK REM1 - Remuneration awarded for the financial year

The table below shows the aggregate remuneration for MRT's by remuneration type

			MB Supervisory function	MB Management function	Other senior management	Other identified staff
			£m	£m	£m	£m
1		Number of identified staff	9	2	11	33
2	Fixed	Total fixed remuneration	1	1	3	5
3	remuneration	Of which: cash-based	1	1	2	5
7		Of which: other forms	—	—	—	1
9		Number of identified staff	9	2	11	33
10		Total variable remuneration	—	2	2	1
11	Variable	Of which: cash-based	_	1	1	1
12	remuneration	Of which: deferred	_	1	1	_
		Of which: share-linked instruments or equivalent non-cash				
UK-13b		instruments		1	1	
17	Total remuner	ation (2 + 10)	1	3	5	6

Table 40 UK REM1 - Remuneration awarded for the financial year

17.3. Template UK REM2 - Special payments to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)

The table below details special payments made during the year ended 31 December 2024 to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on the Society's risk profile (identified staff).

		MB Supervisory function	MB Management function	Other senior management	Other identified staff
		£m	£m	£m	£m
6	Severance payments awarded during the financial year - Number of identified staff	_	_	_	
7	Severance payments awarded during the financial year - Total amount (\pounds m)	_	_	_	_
8	Of which paid during the financial year (£m)	_	_	_	

Table 41 UK REM2 - Special payments to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)

17.4. Template UK REM3 - Deferred remuneration

The table below details the deferred remuneration payments made during the year ended 31 December 2024 or vesting in subsequent years.

	Deferred and retained remuneration	Total amount of deferred remuneration awarded for previous performance periods	Of which due to vest in the financial year	Of which vesting in subsequent financial years	Amount of performance adjustment made in the financial year to deferred remuneration that was due to vest in the financial year	Amount of performance adjustment made in the financial year to deferred remuneration that was due to vest in future performance years	Total amount of adjustment during the financial year due to ex post implicit adjustments (i.e. changes of value of deferred remuneration due to the changes of instruments)	Total amount of deferred remuneration awarded before the financial year actually paid out in the financial year	Total of amount of deferred remuneration awarded for previous period that has vested but is subject to retention periods
		£m	£m	£	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
7	MB Management function	2.0	0.2	1.8	I		—	0.2	0.1
8	Cash-based	1.0	0.1	0.9	Ι			0.2	
10	Share-linked instruments or equivalent non- cash instruments	1.0	0.1	0.9	I	I	Ι	I	0.1
13	Other Senior Management	0.5	I	0.5	Ι	Ι			1
14	Cash-based	0.2	Ι	0.2	Ι				
16	Share-linked instruments or equivalent non- cash instruments	0.2	I	0.2	l	I	I	I	I
25	Total amount	2.5	0.2	2.3	Ι	Ι	Ι	0.2	0.1

Table 42 UK REM3 - Deferred remuneration

17.5. Template UK REM4 - Remuneration of 1 million EUR or more per year

The table below details the total remuneration package of 1 million euros or more per year.
£ 2 Identified staff that are high earners as set out in Article 450(i) CRR 1 000 000 to below 1 500 000 EUR -

Table 43 UK REM4 - Remuneration of 1 million EUR or more per year

Template UK REM5 - Information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff) 17.6.

The table below details information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on the Society's risk profile (identified staff).

		Managen	Management body remui	neration			Business areas	s areas			I
		MB Supervisory function	MB Management function	Total MB	Investment banking	Retail banking	Asset management	Corporate functions	Independen t internal control functions	All other	Total
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
1	Total number of identified staff										55
2	Of which: members of the MB	6	2	11							
ĸ	Of which: other senior management	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	1	Ι	5	3	2	11
4	Of which: other identified staff	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	3	Ι	53	2	-	33
S	Total remuneration of identified staff (£m)	1	3	4	Ι	1	Ι	9	3	-	11
9	Of which: variable remuneration (£m)	Ι	2	2	Ι	Ι	Ι	2	1	-	3
7	Of which: fixed remuneration (£m)	1	1	2	Ι	1	Ι	5	2	Ι	8

Table 44 UK REM5 - Information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)

18. Disclosure on interest rate risk in the banking book

18.1. Table UK IRRBBA - IRRBB risk management objectives and policies

18.1.1. A description of how the Society defines, measures, mitigates and controls IRRBB for the purposes of risk control and measurement

IRRBB is defined as the risk that changes in the general level of interest rates will cause variations in earnings in the near term and variations to the value of future cash flows in the longer term. The key sources of IRRBB that the Society is exposed to include reprice risk, basis risk, credit spread risk and option risk.

The Board has overall responsibility for determining the Society's appetite to market risks, including IRRBB. ALCO are responsible for managing market risk within this risk appetite framework which is supported by a suite of policies and limits.

The market risk of the Society is embedded within the governance structure of the Society, with market risk as a level 2 risk within the enterprise-wide risk management framework. Market risk information is reported monthly to ALCo and Board Risk Committee. The first line management of market risk is through the Society's Treasury department, with second line responsibility within Prudential and Model Risk. The market risk framework and management are audited on a regular basis, completing the third line of defence approach.

18.1.2. A description of the Society's overall IRRBB management and mitigation strategies

IRRBB is managed and mitigated by the Society through:

- Regular assessment and quantification of the sources of IRRBB;
- reporting of strategic and regulatory Board monitored risk limits, supported by a range of ALCo monitored risk indicators;
- adhering to approved hedging principles; and
- appropriate product design controls such as early-repayment charges and product offer-periods.

18.1.3. The periodicity of the calculation of the Society's IRRBB measures, and a description of the specific risk measures that the Society uses to gauge its sensitivity to IRRBB

IRRBB exposures are measured on a monthly basis. The Society's key measures which are economic value of equity (EVE) and net interest income (NII) sensitivities are monitored alongside measures for basis risk, credit swap risk and structural interest rate risk. The regulatory prescribed Supervisory Outlier Tests (SOT) for EVE and NII are calculated and reported monthly.

18.1.4. A description of the interest rate shock and stress scenarios that the Society uses to estimate changes in its economic value and in earnings

In line with regulatory requirements, EVE sensitivity is measured against six prescribed interest rate shocks;

- 250bps parallel shock up;
- 250bps parallel shock down;
- steepener shock;
- flattener shock;
- short rates shock up; and
- short rates shock down.

NII sensitivity is measured against two prescribed shocks;

- 250bps parallel shock up; and
- 250bps parallel shock down

The Society also monitors EV and NII sensitivities against ± 100 bps and ± 200 bps rate shocks, which form the key internal risk measures. NII sensitivities to a range of potential rate paths, based on the prevailing economic environment, is monitored monthly.

18.1.5. Key modelling and parametric assumptions used in calculating change in economic value of equity (Δ EVE) and change in net interest

The key assumptions used in calculating change in EVE in Template UK IRRBB1 are:

- EVE sensitivities measure the difference in the value of assets and liabilities given today's market rates, and rates with a shock applied. The valuation differences come from the mismatches of the time of repricing of the Society's existing assets and liabilities, on- and off-balance sheet.
- The balance sheet runs off from the reporting date.
- Behavioural modelling is used to predict the expected run-off of fixed-rate mortgages and savings products that allow early repayment or early access.
- Commercial margins are excluded from cashflows.
- The Society's reserves are excluded. Non-maturing deposits that are deemed stable and rateinsensitive are assumed to reprice in line with associated hedging.
- An interest rate floor of -100bps is assumed, unwinding by 5bps per year for 20 years.

The key assumptions used in calculating change in NII Template UK IRRBB1 are:

- NII sensitivities measure the sensitivity of interest income to changes in interest rates, over a 12-month period.
- The balance sheet is static, modelled on like-for-like reinvestment.
- Commercial margins are included within cashflows.
- Changes in interest rates are passed on in full to applicable variable rate products, and no interest rate floors are assumed.

18.1.6. Modelling assumptions

For internal NII sensitivity measures, the Society uses a deterministic model which accounts for planned change or growth in the balance sheet over time. More likely, less severe interest rate shocks are assessed. An interest rate floor of -50bps is assumed, and it is assumed that retail product rates are floored at zero.

For internal EVE sensitivity measures, more likely, less severe shocks are assessed. It is assumed that the Society's reserves reprice in line with associated hedging. An interest rate floor of -50bps is assumed.

18.1.7. Hedging IRRBB and the associated accounting treatment

The Society uses derivative financial instruments (swaps) solely for risk management purposes to manage interest rate and currency risk arising from its fixed mortgage and savings activity, fixed liquid assets and from non-sterling and fixed rate wholesale funding. Where appropriate, natural offset between assets and liabilities are utilised.

The Society utilises structural hedging which refers to the assets or derivatives which are used to hedge the reserves of the Society and non-maturing deposits. This is primarily to mitigate potential volatility arising from these rate insensitive liabilities, and so to provide earnings stability.

The Society uses hedge accounting, applying the requirements of IAS 39, to reduce income statement volatility arising from fair value accounted derivatives. The Society's hedge accounting treatment is detailed within the financial statements of the published accounts.

18.1.8. Average repricing maturity assigned to non-maturing deposits (NMDs).

The average repricing maturity assigned to NMDs is 1.80 years. This includes both stable rate insensitive NMDs that are assigned a repricing profile and non-stable NMDs assumed to reprice overnight.

18.1.9. Longest repricing maturity assigned to NMDs.

The longest repricing maturity assigned to NMDs is 8 years.

18.2. Template UK IRRBB1 - Quantitative information on IRRBB

Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB) refers to the current or prospective risk to the Society's capital and earnings arising from adverse movements in interest rates that affect the Society's banking book positions. The table below shows the impacts of a number of shock scenarios to the Economic Value of Equity and the Net Interest Income measures of interest rate risk in the banking book.

	In reporting currency	Δενε	ΔΝΙΙ	Tier 1 capital
	Period	31 December 2024	31 December 2024	31 December 2024
		£m	£m	£m
010	Parallel shock up	(95)	80	
020	Parallel shock down	66	(90)	
030	Steepener shock	37		
040	Flattener shock	(52)		
050	Short rates shock up	(78)		
060	Short rates shock down	74		
070	Maximum	(95)	(90)	
080	Tier 1 capital			3,280

Table 45 UK IRRBB1 - Quantitative information on IRRBB

g
ĕ
a
Z
¥
Ξ
2
č
ē
يد
Se
Š
σ
Ē
0
ູຍ
3
Š
<u>0</u>
õ
Ë
ດ

the risk that savings members and other senior unsecured creditors may be unable to benefit from the liquidation of encumbered assets in the event of insolvency of the Some of the Society's mortgages or treasury assets are used to support collateral requirements for secured funding, central bank operations or third party repo transactions. Mortgages or treasury assets used in this way are referred to as encumbered. Encumbrance provides cheaper and more stable funding; however, it creates Society and may risk bearing losses from a forced sale of the encumbered assets if the Society defaulted. While these risks are very remote, limits on encumbrance are set by the Board and encumbrance levels are managed within these limits.

prescribed by the PRA and therefore differ from encumbrance disclosures in the Annual Report and Accounts that are based on year end balances. Note due to the use of In all the asset encumbrance disclosure templates, the values reflect the median of the sums of the four quarter end-of-period values over the previous 12 months as median values the totals do not always agree to the sum of the rows below.

19.1. Template UK AE1 - Encumbered and unencumbered assets

The table below details the carrying amounts and fair values of encumbered and unencumbered assets and that portion of them that are Extremely High Quality Liquid Assets (EHQLA) and High Quality Liquid Assets (HQLA)

	Carrying amount of encumbered assets	: of encumbered ets	Fair value of en	Fair value of encumbered assets	Carrying amount of unencumbered assets	of unencumbered ets	Fair value of une	Fair value of unencumbered assets
		of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		of which EHQLA and HQLA		of which EHQLA and HQLA
	£m	£m	m3	ш з	£m	£m	£m	£m
Assets of the reporting institution	14,107	546			49,426	595		
Equity instruments		Ι	Ι		7	Ι	7	Ι
Debt securities	546	546	546	546	595	595	595	595
of which: covered bonds		I	Ι		258	258	258	258
of which: securitisations		I	Ι		96	96	96	96
of which: issued by general governments	546	546	546	546	88	88	88	88
of which: issued by financial corporations		ļ	1	ļ	424	424	424	424
Other assets	13,561	Ι			48,785	I		

Table 46 UK AE1 - Encumbered and unencumbered assets

19.2. Template UK AE2 - Collateral received and own debt securities issued

The following table details the fair values of encumbered and unencumbered collateral received or own debt securities issued and that portion of them that are Extremely High Quality Liquid Assets (EHQLA) and High Quality Liquid Assets (HQLA).

				Ur	encumbered
		collateral rec	encumbered eived or own urities issued	received securities iss	e of collateral l or own debt ued available encumbrance
			of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		of which EHQLA and HQLA
		£m	£m	£m	£m
130	Collateral received by the reporting institution	_	_	63	63
160	Debt securities	_	_	63	63
190	of which: issued by general governments	_	_	63	63
241	Own covered bonds and asset-backed securities issued and not yet pledged			3,502	_
250	TOTAL ASSETS, COLLATERAL RECEIVED AND OWN DEBT SECURITIES ISSUED	14,107	13,391		

Table 47 UK AE2 - Collateral received and own debt securities issued

19.3. Template UK AE3 - Sources of encumbrance

The following table shows the carrying amounts of selected financial liabilities and the corresponding sources of encumbrance other than covered bonds and securitisations encumbered.

		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent (£m)	Assets, collateral received and own debt securities issued other than covered bonds and securitisations encumbered (£m)
		£m	£m
010	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	7,676	13,655

Table 48 UK AE3 - Sources of encumbrance

19.4. Table UK AE4 - Accompanying narrative information

The Society manages asset encumbrance in line with a series of Board limits and triggers. In recent years, the encumbrance framework has been extensively developed, in light of the encumbrance borne from its structured funding vehicles and BoE funding. These exposures are reviewed through three lens, external encumbrance, total encumbrance in business as usual, and total encumbrance in a stress. Alongside encumbrance, over-collateralisation is a key consideration in managing the Society's risk via the pledging of assets. This is also monitored in business as usual and estimated under a stress.

The Society has two covered bond programmes utilising both its owner occupied (Coventry Building Society Covered Bond LLP) and buy-to-let (Coventry Godiva Covered Bond LLP) loan portfolios. Coventry Godiva Covered Bond LLP allows the Society to indirectly utilise the buy-to-let portfolio at the Bank of England, an option not available with the raw loans. This provides additional contingent drawing capacity and allows the owner occupied portfolio to be used in the issuance of external secured funding.

20. Disclosure of the leverage ratio

20.1. Table UK LRA: Disclosure of LR qualitative information

20.1.1. Managing the risk of excessive leverage

The UK leverage ratio framework only applies to banks and building societies with retail deposits of £50 billion or more or non-UK assets equal to or greater than £10 billion, neither of which measures currently applies to the Society. The Society nevertheless actively monitors its performance against the UK leverage ratio framework. The Society's focus on low-risk assets means that the leverage requirement will be more onerous and likely become the binding capital requirement on the Society once it is fully subject to UK leverage ratio framework.

The UK leverage ratio framework requires a minimum ratio of 3.25%, calculated on the basis that exposures exclude central bank exposures with less than a 3-month maturity. Of this leverage requirement, a maximum of 25% may be met using high quality AT 1 capital.

There are two additional buffers; these are: a Supplementary Leverage Ratio Buffer (SLRB), which does not impact the Society, and a macro-prudential Countercyclical Leverage Buffer (CCLB). The levels of these buffers are set at 35% of the corresponding CET 1 buffers.

The CCLB is currently 0.7%, having increased in July 2023, in line with the CCyB.

The Society has policies and procedures in place to manage the risk of excessive leverage through maintaining a prudent balance between the pace of growth and the pace of capital accumulation. This includes consideration through the ICAAP of the impact of stress events on leverage. This is explicitly incorporated into the Society's strategic planning process. ICAAP stress testing considers the impact of stress events on leverage.

20.1.2. Factors that have impacted on the leverage ratio

The UK leverage ratio increased to 5.7% (2023: 5.4%) mainly driven by an increase in capital resources in the year. The Society expects leverage will be its binding constraint in the future.

20.2. Template UK LR1 - LRSum: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures

The table below details the movements between accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures.

		Applicable amount
		£m
1	Total assets as per published financial statements	64,031
2	Adjustment for entities which are consolidated for accounting purposes but are outside the scope of prudential consolidation	448
8	Adjustment for derivative financial instruments	(905)
9	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (SFTs)	80
10	Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off- balance sheet exposures)	383
12	Other adjustments	(584)
13	Total exposure measure	63,453

Table 49 UK LR1 - LRSum: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures

20.3. Template UK LR2 - LRCom: Leverage ratio common disclosure

The leverage ratio is a non-risk weighted financial measurement that assesses the ability of a company to meet its financial obligations. The table below details leverage ratio exposures as at 31 December 2024 and those metrics previously displayed at 30 June 2024.

		Leverage ratio	o exposures
		31-Dec-24	30-Jun-24
		£m	£m
On-balance	sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)		
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs, but including collateral)	62,935	61,395
3	(Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)	(7)	(1)
6	(Asset amounts deducted in determining tier 1 capital (leverage))	(118)	(111)
7	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	62,810	61,283
Derivative e	xposures		
8	Replacement cost associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin)	51	19
9	Add-on amounts for potential future exposure associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions	128	130
13	Total derivatives exposures	179	149
Securities fin	nancing transaction (SFT) exposures		
14	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjustment for sales accounting transactions	_	95
16	Counterparty credit risk exposure for SFT assets	80	23
18	Total securities financing transaction exposures	80	118
Other off-ba	lance sheet exposures		
19	Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	1,916	1,716
20	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(1,532)	(1,367)
22	Off-balance sheet exposures	384	349
Excluded ex	posures		
Capital and	total exposure measure		
23	Tier 1 capital (leverage)	3,280	3,223
24	Total exposure measure including claims on central banks	63,453	61,900
UK-24a	(-) Claims on central banks excluded	(9,787)	(8,643)
UK-24b	Total exposure measure excluding claims on central banks	53,666	53,257
Leverage ra	tio		
25	Leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%)	5.7%	6.1%
UK-25a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%)	5.7%	6.1%
UK-25b	Leverage ratio excluding central bank reserves as if the temporary treatment of unrealised gains and losses measured at fair value through other comprehensive income had not been applied (%)	5.7%	6.1%
UK-25c	Leverage ratio including claims on central banks (%)	5.2%	5.2%
26	Regulatory minimum leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.3%	3.3%

Table 50 UK LR2 - LRCom: Leverage ratio common disclosure

20.4. Template UK LR3 - LRSpl: Split-up of on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures)

The table below details the split-up of on balance sheet leverage ratio exposures excluding derivatives, securities financing transactions (SFTs) and other exposures that are exempt.

		Leverage ratio exposures
		£m
UK-1	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs, and exempted exposures), of which:	62,935
UK-3	Banking book exposures, of which:	62,935
UK-5	Exposures treated as sovereigns	10,289
UK-7	Institutions	1,123
UK-8	Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	51,331
UK-9	Retail exposures	8
UK-11	Exposures in default	15
UK-12	Other exposures (e.g. equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	169

Table 51 UK LR3 - LRSpl: Split-up of on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures)

21.Attestation

The Chief Finance Officer (CFO) attests that the Society has made the disclosures required under the Disclosure (CRR) Part of the PRA Rulebook and in accordance with the Pillar 3 Disclosures Policy and internal processes, systems and controls.

22. Key elements of the Pillar 3 disclosures policy

The Society's Pillar 3 disclosures policy includes the following key elements:

- 1. an approval process for disclosures involving Senior Management; and
- 2. an approval process for omitted disclosures involving Senior Management.

Appendix 1. Glossary

Abbreviation	Full Name	Description
£m		
AIRB	Advanced Internal Ratings Based	An approach to determining the capital requirement for a given exposure that allows institutions that have received supervisory approval to rely on their own internal estimates of risk components.
ARA	Annual Report and Accounts	Used to refer to the Society's financial statements
AT1	Additional Tier 1 Capital	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital consists of paid-up capital instruments and their associated share premium account.
CCF	Credit Conversion Factor	The Credit Conversion Factor converts an off-balance sheet exposure to its credit exposure equivalent.
ССР	Central Counterparty Clearing House	Institutions that take on counterparty credit risk between parties to a transaction and provide clearing and settlement services for trades in foreign exchange, securities, options, and derivative contracts.
CCR	Counterparty Credit Risk	The risk that the counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction's cash flows.
ССуВ	Counter Cyclical Buffer	The countercyclical capital buffer (CCyB) is setting aside capital resources to counter procyclicality in the financial system. An increase in cyclical systemic risk requires institutions to accumulate capital to create buffers that strengthen the resilience of the banking sector during periods of stress when losses materialise.
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1) is the highest quality of regulatory capital, as it absorbs losses immediately when they occur.
CRD	Capital Requirements Directive	Legislation, known as CRD V (Directive (EU) 2019/878) amended CRD IV (Directive (EU) 2013/36). The UK implemented elements of CRD V and the final policy was published in PS29/20 'Capital Requirements Directive V (CRD V)'.
CRM	Credit Risk Mitigation	Credit risk mitigation is the attempt by lenders, through the application of various safeguards or processes, to minimize the risk of losing all of their original investment due to borrowers defaulting on their interest and principal payments.
CRR	Capital Requirements Regulation	The Capital Requirements Regulations 2013 (Statutory Instrument 2013/3115).
CVA	Credit Valuation Adjustment	Credit Valuation Adjustment reflects the adjustment of default risk-free prices of derivatives and securities financing transactions (SFTs) due to a potential default of the counterparty.
EHQLA	Extremely high quality liquid asset	Assets that can easily and immediately be converted into cash at little or no loss of value.
ERBA	External Ratings Based Approach	An approach to calculate capital requirements for securitisation exposures that are externally rated or for which an inferred rating is available.
EU	European Union	European Banking Authority (EBA) - European Union.
EVE	Economic Value of Equity	The economic value of equity is a cash flow calculation that takes the present value of all asset cash flows and subtracts the present value of all liability cash flows. Unlike earnings at risk and value at risk (VAR), an institution uses the economic value of equity to manage its assets and liabilities. It is a long-term economic measure used to assess the degree of interest rate risk exposure—as opposed to net-interest income (NII), which reflects short-term interest rate risk.
FIRB	Foundation Internal Ratings Based	An approach to determining the capital requirement for a given exposure that allows institutions that have received supervisory approval to rely on their own internal estimates of risk of default of the obligor but estimates of additional risk factors are derived through the application of standardised supervisory rules.
HQLA	High quality liquid asset	Assets that can easily and immediately be converted into cash at little or no loss of value.
ΙΑΑ	Internal Assessment Approach	An approach to calculate capital requirements for securitisation exposures in which an institution may use its internal assessments of the credit quality of its securitisation exposures.

PRA F A QCCP C	Post Model Adjustment	Adjustments applied when the Society considers that a modelled output is not sufficientl accurate or complete due to there being potential for additional risks that have not bee
QCCP C		identified or that cannot be adequately modelled.
QCCP (Prudential Regulation Authority	The Bank of England prudentially regulates and supervises financial services firms throug the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA).
	Authority Qualifying Central	the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA). A qualifying central counterparty (QCCP) is an entity that is licensed to operate as a CC
(Qualifying Central Counterparty	A qualifying central counterparty (QCCP) is an entity that is licensed to operate as a CC and is permitted by the regulator to operate as such with respect to the products offered.
(and is permitted by the regulator to operate as such with respect to the products offered.
RWA F	Risk Weighted Asset	Risk-weighted asset is the Society's assets or off-balance-sheet exposures, weighte
RWA F	Risk Weighted Asset	Risk-weighted asset is the Society's assets or off-balance-sheet exposures, weighte
RWA F	Risk Weighted Asset	
RWA F	Risk Weighted Asset	Risk-weighted asset is the Society's assets or off-balance-sheet exposures, weighte according to risk.
	Kisk Weighted Asset	
	D: 1 M : 1 - 1 -	
RWEA F	Risk Weighted Exposure	The amount of the exposure value multiplied by the risk weight associated with th
	- ·	
	Amount	exposure.
SA-CCR S	Standardised Approach	The Standardised Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk is a method applied to over-the
	Standardised Approach to Counterparty Credit	The Standardised Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk is a method applied to over-the counter derivatives, exchange-traded derivatives and long settlement transactions t
	Risk	calculate their capital requirement.
F	KISK	calculate their capital requirement.
		······································
	Committional E	
SEC-ERBA S	Securitisation: External-	Under the SEC-ERBA, the risk-weighted exposure amount for a securitisation position sha
		be calculated by multiplying the exposure value of the position as calculated in accordance
r	ratings-based approach	
ſ	aungs-based approach	
		with Article 248 of the CRR.
SET (Securities financing	Securities financing transactions allow institutions to use assets, such as the shares of
SFT S	Securities financing	Securities financing transactions allow institutions to use assets, such as the shares of
	transaction	
t	transaction	bonds they own, to secure funding for their activities.
t	transaction	bonds they own, to secure funding for their activities.
t	transaction	bonds they own, to secure funding for their activities.
t	LI ATISACLIOTI	bonds they own, to secure funding for their activities.
'	a ansaction	bonds they own, to secure running for their activities.
L		
-		
	Current and Market and A	New Grandel Levin and the 100 LL of the state of the state
SME	Small and Medium sized	Non-financial husinesses are also classified by size of husiness. For this nurness, SMEs are
SME S	Small and Medium-sized	Non-financial businesses are also classified by size of business. For this purpose, SMEs are
SME	Small and Medium-sized	Non-tinancial businesses are also classified by size of business. For this purpose, SMEs are
E	Enterprise	defined as those with an annual debit account turnover on the main business account o
"	Litterprise	defined as those with an annual debit account turnover on the main business account o
		up to £25 million.
SOT C	Supervisory Outlior Tasta	Prescribed tests of IRRBR
SOT S	Supervisory Outlier Tests	Prescribed tests of IRRBB
	Supervisory Gutiler rests	
SRT (Significant risk transfer	Term that indicates securitisation has been used as an effective credit risk transfer tool.
SRT SRT	Significant risk transfer	The second share is all and an an equilibrium in the second s
SRT S	Significant risk transfer	The second share the structure state and the state of the

SREP	Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process	The supervisory review and evaluation process that the FCA conducts on firms.
STS	Simple, transparent and standardised	Securitisations can be designated as simple, transparent and standardised (STS) where they meet certain criteria.
Т2	Tier 2 capital	Tier 2 is designated as the second or supplementary layer of an institution's capital and is composed of items such as revaluation reserves, hybrid instruments, and subordinated term debt.
TFSME	Term Funding Scheme with additional incentives for small and medium-sized enterprises	Term Funding Scheme is a form of government lending to offer four-year funding at or very close to Bank Rate. Additional funding will be available for banks that increase lending, especially to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).
UK	United Kingdom	In the UK banks and building societies are regulated by the PRA and FCA, The PRA is part of the Bank of England.

Coventry Building Society is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (www.fca.org.uk) and the Prudential Regulation Authority (firm reference number 150892).

Coventry Building Society. Principal Office: Coventry House, Harry Weston Road, Binley, Coventry, West Midlands CV3 2TQ.

I Visit us here **thecoventry.co.uk**

